THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF ROSSLAND

AGENDA

REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL
CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBER
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2013
7:00 P.M.

(1) CALL TO ORDER

(2) PUBLIC INPUT PERIOD

(3) ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

(4) ADOPTION OF COUNCIL MINUTES
   a) THAT the minutes of the Regular meeting of Council held on March 11, 2013 be adopted.
   b) THAT the minutes of the Public Hearing held on March 11, 2013 be adopted.

(5) REGISTERED PETITIONS AND DELEGATIONS
   a) Delegation from the Rossland Council for Arts and Culture (RCAC)
      Presenting to Council on two projects: the Miners Union Hall Attic Renovation and
      the Rotating Sculpture project proposal.
   b) Delegation from the Rossland Neighbourhood of Learning (NoL)
      Presenting on the results of the Neighbourhood of Learning Taxation Survey.

(6) UNFINISHED BUSINESS
    Nil

(7) RECOMMENDATIONS FROM STAFF FOR DECISION
   a) Purchase of Street Furniture for the Columbia/Washington Project, Request for
      Decision, Manager of Planning and Development
      (Large layout maps will be handed out at the meeting)
      THAT Council directs staff to purchase all street furniture as displayed in the plans
      approved for construction in May 2012 to a maximum expenditure of $101,335.

(8) REQUESTS ARISING FROM CORRESPONDENCE
    Nil

REGULAR AGENDA – March 25, 2013
(9) **INFORMATION ITEMS**

a) Letter from Alex Atamamenko, MP regarding Canada Post moving towards a new smaller business model
b) Village of Montrose invitation to participate in parade during Family Fun Days on June 8, 2013
c) Visions for Small Schools New Post on Rossland K-12 options
d) Neighbourhoods of Learning Rossland K-12 Taxation Survey
e) UBCM letter from January 25, 2013 regarding progress payment (Rossland Operational Treatment, 2012)
f) Kootenay Boundary Regional Hospital District Spotlight Newsletter for February 2013
g) City of Rossland Building Inspection Department Building Permit Report and Development Permits Report for February 2013
h) Report on Climate Action Revenue Incentive (CARIP) Public Report for 2012 by Stacey Lightbourne, Planning Department
i) Ministry of Community, Sport & Cultural Development letter regarding the Strategic Community Investment Fund
j) Human Resources and Skills Development Canada request for nominations for the Prime Minister’s Volunteer Award
k) City of Rossland Heritage Commission Minutes from the March 4, 2013 Regular Meeting
m) Resort Municipality Initiative Agreement dated January 1, 2013

(10) **BYLAWS**

a) Request for Council Decision, Deputy CAO/Corporate Officer
   THAT Bylaw No. 2548 – Animal Control Bylaw, 2013, be adopted.

(11) **COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS**

(12) **CLOSED MEETING RESOLUTION**

a) RESOLVED THAT the meeting of March 25, 2013 be closed to the public pursuant to the Community Charter Sections 90(1)(a) Personal Information, 90(1)(c) Labour Relations, 90(1)(e) Land Disposition, 90(1)(g) Litigation.

(13) **ADJOURNMENT**
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF ROSSLAND

REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 11, 2013

PRESENT: MAYOR GREG GRANSTROM
COUNCILLOR KATHY WALLACE
COUNCILLOR JODY BLOMME
COUNCILLOR JILL SPEARN
COUNCILLOR KATHY MOORE

ABSENT: COUNCILLOR TIM THATCHER
COUNCILLOR CARY FISHER

STAFF PRESENT: CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER/CFO
DEPUTY CAO/ CORPORATE OFFICER

GALLERY

CALL TO ORDER:
The Mayor called the Meeting to order at 7:05 p.m.

PUBLIC INPUT PERIOD:
Nil

ADOPTION OF AGENDA:
MOTION: SPEARN / BLOMME

RESOLVED THAT THE MARCH 11, 2013 REGULAR MEETING AGENDA BE ADOPTED AS AMENDED BY DELETING ITEM 7b) – ALTERNATIVE APPROVAL PROCESS.

CARRIED.

MINUTES:
MOTION: MOORE / BLOMME

RESOLVED THAT THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD ON MONDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2013, BE ADOPTED WITH THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENT TO COUNCILLOR BLOMME’S MEMBERS REPORT:
"postponed strategic planning with Rossland Chamber of Commerce until Monday, March 4, 2013."
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DELEGATIONS:

a) Delegation from the Lower Columbia Community Development Team (LCCDT) – Affordable Housing Project Summary

Janet Morton, Chairperson, LCCDT Attainable Housing Committee, presented a PowerPoint presentation to Council regarding the purchase of affordable rental units in Rossland. The committee is requesting and annual grant equivalent of the property taxes or an exemption from taxation under the permissive tax exemption bylaw.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:


MOTION: WALLACE / SPEARN

RESOLVED THAT COUNCIL AMEND THE SCOPE OF WORK IN THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION 2013 WORK PLAN, UTILIZING EXISTING CITY STAFF AND REDUCE THE BUDGET REQUEST ACCORDINGLY.

DEFEATED.

MOTION: MOORE / BLOMME

RESOLVED THAT COUNCIL APPROVE THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION 2013 WORK PLAN IN PRINCIPAL AND REFER THE REQUEST OF $30,000 TO COUNCIL BUDGET DISCUSSIONS.

CARRIED.
RECOMMENDATIONS FROM STAFF FOR DECISION:


MOTION: WALLACE / MOORE

RESOLVED THAT COUNCIL DIRECT STAFF TO RENEW THE GOLD FEVER FOLLIES SERVICE AGREEMENT FOR 2013 TO PROVIDE SUMMER THEATRE TO THE COMMUNITY IN EXCHANGE FOR USE OF THE MINERS’ HALL FREE OF CHARGE.

CARRIED.

MOTION: MOORE / BLOMME

RESOLVED THAT STAFF BE DIRECTED TO PROVIDE AND UPDATE OF THE 2009 MINERS’ HALL TASK FORCE REPORT.

CARRIED.

REQUESTS ARISING FROM CORRESPONDENCE:


MOTION: SPEARN / WALLACE

RESOLVED THAT COUNCIL APPROVES THE ROSSLAND COUNCIL FOR ARTS AND CULTURE’S CHOSEN SCULPTURE “BEARS PLAYING” AND PROVIDE THE RCAC WITH ASSISTANCE IN PLACEMENT AND INSTALLATION OF THE SCULPTURE UP TO $1000 OF IN-KIND CITY SERVICES.

CARRIED.

INFORMATION ITEMS:

INFORMATION ITEMS NUMBERED 5(a) TO 5(h)


b) Sustainability Commission request for support of Rossland Car Share Initiative. Recommendation for Council to provide a letter of support and to instruct staff to identify appropriate parking for vehicles.
c) UBCM – The Compass Newsletters for February 20, 2013 and March 6, 2013. **Recommend receive and file.**

d) Letter from Alex Atamanenko, MP regarding Canada Post moving towards a “new smaller retail model”. **Recommendation for Council to support resolution.**

e) Earth Hour information and reminder that it takes place on March 23, 2013 between 8:30 & 9:30 p.m. **Recommendation to receive and file.**

f) Ministry of Community, Sport & Cultural Development news release regarding legislation amendments to the Community Charter that will to modernize municipal business. **Recommendation to receive and file.**

g) Tourism Rossland Budget Profit and Loss Budget vs. Actuals for April 2012 through January 2013. **Recommendation to receive and file.**

h) Regional District of Kootenay Boundary – East End Services Annual Requisition & Budget Summary. **Recommendation to receive and file.**

---

**MOTION:** WALLACE / SPEARN

**RESOLVED THAT** INFORMATION ITEMS 5(a) TO 5(h) BE RECEIVED AND ACTED UPON AS RECOMMENDED, EXCEPT FOR ITEM 5 d).

**CARRIED.**

---

**MOTION:** SPEARN / MOORE

**RESOLVED THAT** COUNCIL DIRECT STAFF TO WRITE TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT REQUESTING INFORMATION AND RATIONAL, INCLUDEING EFFECTED COMMUNITIES, ON THE PROPOSED POST OFFICE MOVING TOWARD ‘NEW SMALLER RETAIL MODEL’.

**CARRIED.**

---

**BYLAWS:**


**MOTION:** BLOMME / WALLACE

**RESOLVED THAT** BYLAW NO. 2548 – ANIMAL CONTROL BYLAW, 2013, BE GIVEN FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD READING .

**CARRIED.**

MOTION: MOORE / SPEARN

RESOLVED THAT BYLAW NO. 2546 – ZONING AMENDMENT BYLAW, 2013(1), BE READ A THIRD TIME.

CARRIED.

MOTION: SPEARN / MOORE

RESOLVED THAT BYLAW NO. 2546 – ZONING AMENDMENT BYLAW, 2013(1), BE ADOPTED.

CARRIED.

MEMBERS REPORTS (VERBAL)

Councillor Moore

Councillor Moore reported on the following items:
- Commented on the Staff letter sent regarding dangerous dogs.
- Inquired as to when the remainder of the Cooke Avenue rezoning would be coming to Council.
- Inquired as to when the Delegation Task Force would be reporting to Council.
- Inquired as to when the Officers and Officials Bylaw would be coming forward to Council.
- Inquired into an update of the Council Strategic Plan.

Councillor Blomme:

Councillor Blomme reported on the following items:
- Requested an update on the dangerous dog designation for two dogs that have been seen attacking cats.
- Update of Museum information for google maps re: Visitors Centre location.
- Reported on the recommendation from Tourism Rossland to change parking and other downtown signage to fit with the signage manual.
- Rossland Chamber of Commerce Strategic Planning session being held March 11, 2013.
Councillor Spearn:

Councillor Spearn reported on the following items:

- Informed Council of the intention of the Neighbourhood of Learning survey.
- Voiced concerns of the location of the Rossland Chamber of Commerce office.
- Inquired into the use and regulations of sandwich boards for advertisement on Columbia Avenue.

Councillor Wallace:

Councillor Wallace reported on the following items:

- Updated Council on the Columbia Basin Trust Community Initiative funds regarding collaborative regional projects. EES Committee to hold meeting for application of regional nature (3 or more areas) on April 4th.
- Updated Council on changes to Columbia Basin Trust delegation: new Chair of the Board, Greg Deck and Vice-Chair, Laurie Page. Focus on Community Initiative Funding where a subcommittee of EES will discuss how to begin.
- Circulated the recommendation from the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary Board Meeting concerning CETA.
- Updated Council on the last East End Services Meeting including delegations from Columbia Basin Trust and Southern Interior Development Initiative Trust.
- Updated Council on the Rural BC Project – Project of Beetle Action Coalitions.
- Upcoming Telus improvements to cell service along Highway 3. A transmission tower on Mud Lake access road – APC has concerns regarding any potential impact on the Castlegar Nordic Club.
- Will be attending CRT Review Conference (free – must register by March 15) in Castlegar on March 22.
- Will be attending the West Kootenay Regional Transit meeting on March 13 in Nelson and will update Council next regular meeting, noted that implementation will be delayed to July 2.
- Attended Advisory Committee meeting for Liquid Waste Management Plan as observer.
- Attended Liquid Waste Management Plan second meeting of the Steering Committee, Rossland Task Force meeting is scheduled for this week to look at next steps.
- Updated West Kootenay Boundary Regional Hospital in regards to Council’s decision to support $2 million reserve fund.
- Informed Council regarding Lower Columbia Community Development Team funding.
- Received the updated budgets and financial plans regarding Regional District of Kootenay Boundary property request. Will make available to Council and discuss in more detail at next meeting.

Mayor Granstrom:

Mayor Granstrom reported on the following item:

- Upcoming RCMP delegation to present Crime Stats.
ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION: GRANSTROM

RESOLVED THAT THIS REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL BE ADJOURNED AT 9:02 P.M.

CARRIED.

CERTIFIED CORRECT:

MAYOR GREG E. GRANSTROM

CORPORATE OFFICER – TRACEY BUTLER
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF ROSSLAND

PUBLIC HEARING

MONDAY, March 11, 2013

PRESENT:
Mayor Greg Granstrom (Chair)
Councillor Kathy Moore
Councillor Jill Spearn
Councillor Kathy Wallace
Councillor Jody Blomme

ABSENT:
Councillor Tim Thatcher
Councillor Cary Fisher

STAFF PRESENT:
Chief Administrative Officer/CFO C. Arnott
Deputy CAO/Corporate Officer T. Butler
Manager of Planning and Development M. Maturo

The Chair called the Public Hearing to order at 7:02 p.m.

The Chair stated that this Public Hearing is being convened pursuant to Section 890 of the Local Government Act and is intended to consider the proposed bylaws No. 2546, 2013 (1) – Zoning Amendment Bylaw to rezone the lands formerly known as the Cooke School Ball Diamond from P1-Public and Institutional to R-1 Detached Infill Residential.

He stated that any person present, who believes that his or her interest in property is affected by the proposed bylaw, shall be given an opportunity to express their views and concerns with regard to Bylaw No. 2546, 2013(1). He added that those who wished to speak should commence their address by clearly stating their name and address, prior to presenting their views concerning the bylaw.

The Chair advised that Members of Council could ask questions of the presenters; however, he stated that the purpose of the hearing is not to argue the merits of the bylaw, but rather to listen to any presentations that anyone may wish to make regarding the proposed bylaw. He went on to advise that a summary of these proceedings would be recorded and presented to the Council.

He requested that those in attendance refrain from applause or other expressions of emotion, as this enables others whose views maybe different to exercise their right to express their views.

Bylaw No. 2546

The Corporate Officer advised that a notice was published in the February 28 & March 7, 2013 editions of the Rossland News, posted on the City bulletin board and web site since March 11, 2013
February 26, 2013, and that staff mailed all owners and residents within 50m of the proposed rezoning with notice of the proposed bylaws as required by City Bylaw and the Local Government Act. The City has received no written submissions regarding this application.

Public comments:

No one came forward to ask any questions or offer any comments.

Adjournment:

MOTION: GRANSTROM

THERE BEING NO FURTHER PRESENTATIONS TO COUNCIL, THE PUBLIC HEARING BE ADJOURNED AT 7:05 P.M.

CERTIFIED CORRECT:

Mayor Greg E. Granstrom, Chair

Corporate Officer – Tracey Butler
SUMMARY:
The Rossland Council for Arts and Culture (RCAC) has two projects that they will be presenting to Council and requesting the following support:

1. Miners Union Hall Attic (4th floor) Renovation
   a) Approve plan in principle.
   b) Request to work with City Staff to develop a common plan and draft budget for both the Attic renovations and the City’s Planned upgrades to the Miners Hall.
   c) Inclusion of the Miners Hall reserve funds in the revenue portion of the draft budget for the common Miners Hall renovation plan to maximize the opportunity to leverage grant funding.

2. Rotating Sculpture in downtown
   a) Council approval to move the project forward and to allow City Staff to help find an appropriate location for a rotating sculpture.
   b) Assistance from Public Works with site preparation prior to installation April 2014.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:
Receive the presentation and refer any issues for further discussion.

OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:
1. Receive the presentation: Council and the public are provided with the information and briefed on the proposed projects.
2. Receive the presentation and refer any issues for further discussion: Major issues brought forward that may require further review and recommendations from Staff should be referred to subsequent meetings for decision.

BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:
Option 1: The main advantage to having RCAC as a delegation to Council is to answer questions and provide explanations on the submission and proposed projects.

Option 2: The key advantage is that the submission and requests for support will receive additional review and recommendation from the City Staff.

COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACT - REVENUE GENERATION:
There is no cost of making the presentation.
LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:
Council Procedures bylaw makes provisions for making presentations to Council.

[Signature]
Corporate Officer

[Signature]
Reviewed by City Manager
Rossland Council for Arts and Culture
PO Box 405, Rossland, BC V0G 1Y0

March 18, 2013

RE: Miners Union Hall Attic (4th Floor) Renovation and proposed City of Rossland Miners Hall Renovations

To Mayor and Council at the City of Rossland,

This letter is intended to provide the City and Council with an update on RCAC's proposed Miners Hall Attic (4th floor) renovations and to request approval from Council for RCAC to work with City Staff to build a common Miners Hall Renovation plan that includes the Attic renovation and the City's planned upgrades as outlined in the Capital plan. If Council approves, RCAC also requests permission to work with City staff to build a project budget that would include the City's Miners Hall reserve funds and look for funding opportunities that would leverage reserve funds.

Our intent is to build a common renovation plan and budget that is 'shelf ready' and would allow RCAC & the City to take advantage of any funding opportunities that may arise in the near future.

Overview of Attic Renovation Planning to Date
RCAC has completed several consultations over the period of 2013 regarding development of the attic of the Miners Union Hall. Professional consultations conducted to date include: structural engineer Steven Thomas (Nelson), building code consultant B. Clarke Engineering (Summerland), and fire assessment by the Regional District fire marshal. All reports and assessments are attached and included.

Thomas Loh, Architect (Nelson) has prepared an initial concept drawing that considers the input provided by the above professionals. Loh has extensive experience with heritage buildings renovations. Loh's concept drawing for the attic renovation is also attached.
Based on the architectural drawing by Thomas Loh, the RCAC hired Jim Bush from JBA in Nelson, a professional Quantity Surveyor, to provide an official cost estimate for the planned renovations. The total estimate for the attic renovations is $445,000.

The RCAC wants to ensure that the proposed renovations are useful to many user groups in the community. Over the last few months RCAC has met with different stakeholders and potential user groups for feedback on the proposed design including Rossland Recreation, the Gold Fever Follies, and Rossland Light Opera Players. The plans were met with great excitement and enthusiasm. We also received some valuable feedback in regards to their needs and will consider this feedback as we move to the next design phase.

The City of Rossland & planned renovations to the Miners Union Hall
Since the City of Rossland has plans to do upgrades to the Miners Hall in the near future, and a reserve fund is in place to accomplish that work, RCAC feels that their may be some advantages to rolling the Attic renovation and the exterior renovations into a single project.

At the suggestion of the Corporate Officer, RCAC has met with Darren Albo and Parry Lafond regarding the fourth floor renovations. They have indicated that the City is planning renovations for the Miners Hall that potentially includes new roofing, removal of the chimney, new windows and refinishing the exterior. They said these planned renovations would likely take place in spring/summer of 2014.

Mr. Albo and Mr. Lafond are both in agreement that working together on the City's planned renovations and RCAC's fourth floor renovations may be financially beneficial to both the RCAC and the City. Efficiencies could be realized and the reserve funds could be used to leverage additional funding.

For example, scaffolding is a major expense because of the size and height of the Miners Hall. Both renovations will likely require scaffolding. Sharing scaffolding could be both cost and time efficient.

Completing most or all of the renovations for both projects in one summer will disrupt community use of the Miners Hall the least. Finding an alternative home for one season for groups like the Follies and recreation programming would be the least disruptive to those programs.
Summary of Request

1. That Council review RCAC's proposed plan for the Miners Hall and approve the plan in principal.

2. That Council approve RCAC's request to work with City Staff to develop a common plan and draft budget for both the Attic renovation and the City's planned upgrades to the Miners Hall.

3. That Council approve the inclusion of the Miners Hall reserve funds in the revenue portion of the draft budget for the common Miners Hall renovation plan with the goal of maximizing the opportunity to leverage funds to complete the common plan.

The City will have the opportunity to review and approve the budget and plan before it is released to any potential funders.

Thank you for your consideration and continued support of this community project. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Renate Fleming, President
Renate.f@telus.net 250.362.7217
ROSSLAND MINER'S HALL
Green Room, Attic Renovation
design by: THOMAS LOH ARCHITECT
for CITY of ROSSLAND, BC

Class C Estimate
July 26, 2012

James Bush & Associates Ltd., Professional Quantity Surveyors
13657-20A Avenue, South Surrey, BC, V4A 9V7
Phone (604) 535-5800  Fax (604) 535-5836  Email jim@jba.bc.ca
# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Construction:</th>
<th>Class C Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Contractor Work, Structural &amp; Demolition:</td>
<td>$10,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos Removal, Lead Paint Remediation</td>
<td>Not Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Building Restoration Work &amp; Upgrades</td>
<td>$21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough Carpentry - Framing, Insulation etc.</td>
<td>$32,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millwork &amp; Finish Carpentry</td>
<td>$21,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doors, Frames, Hardware (supply)</td>
<td>$36,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drywall - Walls, Ceiling etc.</td>
<td>$20,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Finishes</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiling Finishes</td>
<td>$5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Finishes - Painting</td>
<td>$3,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialties</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>$116,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Contractor Overhead</td>
<td>$62,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash allowances</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design &amp; Pricing Contingency</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Escalation to start of construction</td>
<td>$42,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Reasonable Bid Price Construction</td>
<td>$445,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SUMMER 2012 dollars, excl. HST)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## SOFT COSTS - Design & Engineering Fees, City Costs, Project Contingencies, PHI

- By Others

## THEATRE EQUIPMENT

- By Others

## LOOSE FURNITURE & FURNISHINGS

- By Others

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL: Floor Area (m²)</th>
<th>204 m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL: Floor Area (SF)</td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Cost per SF floor area (CONSTRUCTION)</td>
<td>$203.10 /SF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES & CLARIFICATIONS

This estimate is based on a lump sum, competitively bid form of contract.

- Priced in SUMMER 2012 dollars, with no allowance for Escalation to start of construction as the market is in recovery.
- This estimate represents a fair and reasonable construction cost of the work based on an understanding of the work as outlined on the design drawings, reports and details by THOMAS LOH ARCHITECT, dated July 2012.
- It is assumed that the adjacent areas will remain occupied during the construction. Hoarding & Security is included.
- The estimate prepared by JBA reflects probable construction costs prevailing at the date of this report and is a determination of fair market value for the construction of this project and should not be taken as a prediction of the lowest bid price. The Construction market remains variable and we are still seeing very competitive bidding.
- JBA does not have control over the cost of labour, materials, equipment, over a contractor's method of determining bid prices, or over competitive bidding, market conditions. Accordingly JBA cannot and does not warrant or represent that bid prices will not vary from this estimate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room Areas</th>
<th>GREEN ROOM/ATTIC RENOVATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lounge</td>
<td>263 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen</td>
<td>55 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>75 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCL</td>
<td>52 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janitor</td>
<td>20 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balcony</td>
<td>Not Incl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiuse Space</td>
<td>714 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage Closets</td>
<td>480 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Room</td>
<td>432 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performers WC</td>
<td>100 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### General Contractor Work, Structural & Demolition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoarding &amp; Temporary Protection &amp; Construction Access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium for after hours work (demol/cutting/coring/material etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Not Occupied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition and Tear Out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• remove walls, c/w finishes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• remove doors, hardware etc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• remove ceilings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• remove existing floor insulation</td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• strip, prep existing remaining walls</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• remove miscell items, existing debris etc.</td>
<td>16 hrs</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• remove masonry chimney</td>
<td>12 hrs</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• miscellaneous demo</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal &amp; Trucking of demolition materials</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material handling demolition material &amp; waste removal, Cleanup</td>
<td>40 Hrs</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting &amp; Coring for Mechanical / Electrical</td>
<td>6 Hrs</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC Work to floors below for mechanical services, drains etc.</td>
<td>10 Hrs</td>
<td>68.00</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shower Base</td>
<td>2 No</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infill existing cored services holes &amp; restore fire rating, patch floors</td>
<td>6 Hrs</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roofing work due to chimney and new vents etc</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Asbestos Removal, Lead Paint Remediation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allowance for Asbestos Removal, Lead Paint remediation to WCB requirements</th>
<th>Not Included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balcony Upgrade - refreshing</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restore existing doors/frames, windows at front of building</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restore front stairs</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebuild/restore 2 windows at rear elevation</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous restoration of existing, make good etc.</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Existing Building Restoration Work & Upgrades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allowance for Asbestos Removal, Lead Paint remediation to WCB requirements</th>
<th>Not Included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balcony Upgrade - refreshing</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restore existing doors/frames, windows at front of building</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restore front stairs</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebuild/restore 2 windows at rear elevation</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous restoration of existing, make good etc.</td>
<td>Allowance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rough Carpentry - Framing, Insulation etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allowance for Asbestos Removal, Lead Paint remediation to WCB requirements</th>
<th>Not Included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood stud partition inl</td>
<td>656 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood stud partition inl - 30-36&quot; high pony wall</td>
<td>147 LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood stud partition inl - 42&quot; high upstand wall above storage doors</td>
<td>104 LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood stud partition inl - between storage, sloped top</td>
<td>400 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framed dropped ceiling - between wall and sloped roof</td>
<td>782 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back framing, miscell blocking for specialties etc.</td>
<td>8 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tile backer board - shower</td>
<td>Pre-fab Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plywood sheathing to floor</td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Building Insulation & VR

| Batt Insulation to walls                                                 | 989 SF       | 0.68  | 700   |
| Batt to dropped ceilings                                                | 782 SF       | 0.75  | 600   |
| Batt insulation to sloped ceiling                                        | 2,016 SF     | 0.75  | 1,500 |
| Batt insulation to floor cavity                                         | 2,191 SF     | 1.25  | 2,700 |
| Rigid insulation under floor plywood                                    | 2,191 SF     | 2.85  | 6,200 |
| Poly Air Vapour barrier, include caulking/sealing all penetration         | 3,787 SF     | 0.25  | 900   |
### ROSSLAND MINER’S HALL
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#### CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millwork &amp; Finish Carpentry</th>
<th>GREEN ROOM/ATTIC RENOVATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Millwork:</strong></td>
<td>21,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plam Counters open below</td>
<td>20 LF 175.00 3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Make up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plam Costume racks</td>
<td>12 LF 150.00 1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plam Storage Cupboard</td>
<td>2 No 800.00 1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plam shelf in WC</td>
<td>3 No 200.00 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plam Kitchen Counters,</td>
<td>19 LF 210.00 4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cupboards below</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plam Kitchen upper</td>
<td>19 LF 125.00 2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cupboard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Finish Carpentry:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Install doors,</td>
<td>19 Set 150.00 2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frames, hardware</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Install WC</td>
<td>6 Hrs 48.00 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accessories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Install trim to</td>
<td>590 LF 4.00 2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>windows, door frames</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Install glazed</td>
<td>242 SF 10.00 2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>window frames etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Doors, Frames, Hardware, Windows (supply)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood Door frames &amp;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trims - single</td>
<td>4 No 380.00 1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid core wood</td>
<td>4 Lvs 400.00 1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>door - Stain finish, single</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid frame wood</td>
<td>5 Lvs 1,100.00 5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>door - fully glazed, Heritage style, incl. glazing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow metal glazed</td>
<td>1 Lv 600.00 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>door, rated (Stair)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow core - pocket</td>
<td>2 Set 400.00 800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sliding doors 5ft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow core - bi-pass</td>
<td>6 Set 650.00 3,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sliding doors 10ft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware allowance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to doors including</td>
<td>Allow 12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existing Hall doors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glazed window frame - solid wood to sloped infill</td>
<td>80 SF 40.00 3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glazed window frame - solid wood (Lounge &amp; Office) incl. glass</td>
<td>162 SF 35.00 5,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated H/M glazed</td>
<td>1 No 1,800.00 1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sliding frames and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>door frame</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Drywall - Walls, Ceiling etc.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drywall type X to</td>
<td>2,968 SF 2.60 7,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>walls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drywall type X to</td>
<td>782 SF 2.75 2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ceiling - flat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drywall type X to</td>
<td>2,016 SF 3.50 7,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ceiling - sloped</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drywall type X to</td>
<td>Allow 2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fire separation, incl. re/re existing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscell drywall,</td>
<td>16 hrs 48.00 800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patching, tie into</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Floor Finishes

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resilient flooring</td>
<td>2,191 SF 6.50 14,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber base</td>
<td>379 LF 2.00 800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ceiling Finishes

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painting drywall</td>
<td>2,798 SF 1.00 2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal beams, exp wood</td>
<td>2,016 SF 0.85 1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re/re ceilings in adjacent area for services changes</td>
<td>Item 600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Wall Finishes - Painting

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paint wall finish</td>
<td>2,968 SF 0.95 2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make good adjacent</td>
<td>Allowance 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>finishes where</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disturbed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Specialties

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Item 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialties - fire</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extinguisher cabs,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>access panels etc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC Accessories</td>
<td>3 Set 900.00 2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shower doors</td>
<td>2 No 350.00 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage</td>
<td>Allowance 1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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## CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2,191 SF</th>
<th>22.82</th>
<th>50,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power &amp; Distribution</td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connect new SDP to</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existing panel, plus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>new feeder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting, Exit</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting, Emerg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Packs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power - new outlets,</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Wiring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Alarm</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel/Data</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security, Public</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job cost, after hours,</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tie-Ins/shut downs,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of Town</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanical</strong></td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
<td>53.31</td>
<td>116,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing &amp; Drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>new fixtures</td>
<td>3 No</td>
<td>1,480.00</td>
<td>4,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on existing rough-in</td>
<td>1 No</td>
<td>2,250.00</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen sink</td>
<td>4 Fxt</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
<td>9,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC/Lav Basin</td>
<td>2 No</td>
<td>2,800.00</td>
<td>5,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shower, incl. pre-fab</td>
<td></td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubicle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tie in drains/vents,</td>
<td></td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCW/DHW to existing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on floor below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprinklers</td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>5,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relocate existing</td>
<td>650 SF</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heads, test, Ind. temp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shutdown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reconfigure for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enclosed attic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC</td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
<td>32.86</td>
<td>72,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New HVAC</td>
<td>4 No.</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>4,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washroom/Shower/Kitchen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exhausts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioning,</td>
<td></td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebalance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Contractor Overhead</strong></td>
<td>62,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Site Overhead</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(office, privies,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cleanup, garbage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superintendent,</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project manager,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>staff cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of Town</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance, Bonding &amp;</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee or Contractor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markup/Profit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Allowances</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design &amp; Pricing Contingency</strong></td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasonable Bid Price</td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
<td>$203.10</td>
<td>$445,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CONSTRUCTION (excl. HST)</td>
<td>2,191 SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Request: The RCAC would like council's approval in principal to proceed with this initiative. If approved, the RCAC is requesting permission to work with the City's planning staff to identify an appropriate location in the downtown core to host the rotating sculpture.

Overview: The Rossland Council for Arts and Culture has been talking to Joy Barrett, coordinator of Castlegar's Sculpturewalk, regarding Rossland's participation in this high profile art initiative. The concept is that the Castlegar Sculpturewalk will lease their sculptures to different communities in the region on an annual basis and would provide marketing & promotion of the regional Sculpturewalk.

The communities of Nelson, Kaslo and possibly Trail will be participating this year. RCAC is requesting that Mayor and City Council approve this initiative in principal and that permission is given for RCAC to work with City staff to identify an appropriate space for the rotating sculpture. The first sculpture would be placed in April 2014 and would remain in place for one year. RCAC will return to Council in early 2014 for Council's approval of the selected sculpture.

RCAC sees this initiative as a unique opportunity to participate in a regional arts initiative that will increase Rossland's profile as an arts and culture community and benefit the business community by attracting summers tourists.
**Requirements from the City for this Initiative:** RCAC would require a suitable space downtown for this sculpture to be located, assistance in the preparation of that space and installation. The lease would probably start in April 2014 and run until April 2015. Should Rossland & RCAC choose to continue with leasing rotating sculptures, a new sculpture would be chosen in Feb-March each year and installed at the designated site in April of each year.

**Funding:** RCAC would raise the funds needed for the annual lease and installation. An annual lease for a sculpture from Castlegar Sculpturewalk ranges from between $1500 to $2300. Our understanding is that the cost of the lease includes transportation of the sculpture to the new site and assistance with installation. Rossland would be responsible for any site preparation. RCAC would request in kind support of the City for site preparation to a maximum of $1000.00

This request for a rotating sculpture is timely as it will also tie in with the City's approval of the permanent sculpture "Bears Playing" to be installed in 2014 and the newly erected Olaus Jeldness statue. Rossland also is host to another public art piece "The Storytellers" in front of the library- a RCAC project from 2008. This is a very unique opportunity that the RCAC believes would benefit this community greatly.

**Summary of our Request:** Council's approval to move this project forward and to allow City staff to help find an appropriate location for a rotating sculpture, as well as some assistance from City works with site preparation prior to the installation of an art piece in April 2014.

Thank you for your time and consideration. Please don't hesitate to contact me with further questions.

Sincerely,

Renate Fleming, President
250-362-7217
THE CITY OF ROSSLAND
Delegation
REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION

DATE : March 19, 2013

TOPIC : Rossland Neighbourhood of Learning (NOL)

PROPOSAL : Presentation of Taxation Survey Analysis

PROPOSED BY : Deputy CAO/Corporate Officer

SUMMARY:
Representatives of the NOL will be presenting the results of the NOL Taxation Survey.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:
Council receives the presentation.

OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:
1. Receive the presentation: Council and the public are provided with the information and briefed on the results of the survey. Council may wish to consider the survey results in conjunction with budget discussions.
2. Receive the presentation and refer any issues for further discussion: Major issues brought forward that may require further review and recommendations from Staff should be referred to subsequent meetings for decision.

BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:
Option 1: The main advantage to having the NOL present the results of the survey to Council is to answer questions and provide explanations on the submission.
Option 2: The key advantage is that the submission will receive additional review and recommendation from the City Staff. The action and recommendations may be delayed due to referrals and further discussions.

COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACT - REVENUE GENERATION:
There is no cost of making the presentation.

LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:
Council Procedures bylaw makes provisions for making presentations to Council.

Corporate Officer

Reviewed by City Manager
ROSSLAND Neighbourhood of Learning Committee

ROSSLAND K-12 TAXATION SURVEY

Please fill in the survey on other side of this sheet and return to City Hall, or fill it out online at www.surveymonkey.com/s/NOLTaxation, by Sunday, March 10.

The Trustees of SD2o have voted to retain only K-9 education in Rossland in the current RSS building. MacLean Elementary would be closed and Grade 10-12 students would be bused to JL Crowe for Sept. 2013. Many Rosslanders have expressed their desire to retain K-12 in our community. We believe that retaining K-12 PUBLIC education in Rossland is only possible with financial support from the City of Rossland. This would be realized through an increase in property taxes. Losing the ability to educate all of our children in Rossland has economic, social and environmental consequences for all residents.

IMPACTS OF LOSING K-12
• Homeowners will see a drop in their house values (but not a drop in taxes) if there is no K-12 in Rossland. Even a 1% drop in the value of the average home means a loss of $2,560 in equity for the homeowner — enough to cover a tax increase of $50/year for over 50 years.
• Businesses in Rossland will be negatively impacted by the loss of students shopping in the community after school hours, and parents shopping outside the community while they are transporting their kids.
• K-9 means the loss of the Academy and International Programs at RSS. A conservative estimate sees international students contributing between $25,000 and $35,000 per year to the local economy, through homestay fees, tuition fees, parental visits, and discretionary spending.
• Rossland's economy would contract as families move away to K-12 communities, and as new families decided against moving to Rossland because it lacked the K-12 available in other destinations. Lower population + same services = higher taxes.
• The Blended Learning Program at RSS is an exciting, innovative program that needs to be given the opportunity to flourish.

TAX IMPLICATIONS
The City of Rossland is examining several possibilities for covering the difference between the cost of K-12 and K-9 at RSS ($140,000/year). The options include short-term spending (e.g., a three-year agreement), and long-term spending (agreement in perpetuity). To give an idea what the tax burden might be:
• If the City were to offer SD2o $140,000 per year for a three-year deal, paid back over five years, the tax increase to residents on an assessed property value of $256,000 would be about $53/year for five years.
• If the City were to offer SD2o $140,000 per year indefinitely, the tax increase per year to residents on an assessed property value of $256,000 would be about $64.

Part of the negotiations could involve the City being able to use RSS as a community resource, housing Rossland Rec programs, renting out the kitchen and auditorium, etc.

The following questions ask about your willingness to pay tax increases to support certain school options in Rossland. The questions also ask how much of a tax increase you are willing to pay and for how long.

MUNICIPAL AND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL OPTIONS
The short-term bridging option assumes that these additional tax dollars would be used to support SD2o for 3-5 years until enrolment increases or until a Municipal School District or Independent School can be established. The in-perpetuity option assumes that these additional tax dollars will go towards the purchase of the RSS building by the City of Rossland, creating a long-term community asset, to be either leased back to SD2o or utilized as a Municipal School or an Independent School.

A Municipal School would be part of newly formed Municipal School District for Rossland only. This would be an option worked towards with the provincial government.

An Independent or Distance Learning school would initially be for grades 10-12, and possibly more grades later as demand increases. Neither would be a part of SD2o, and tuition may be required.
We encourage all Rossland residents to complete this survey to inform Council how you feel about a potential tax increase. To help ensure that the results are valid, we would appreciate it if you would submit your name and address in a file separate from your survey. If you are completing an online survey, you will be asked to do this online. If you complete a paper survey, you will be asked to provide your name and address when you drop off your survey. Please complete this survey only once per household, by midnight on March 10th, 2013.

1. Are you willing to pay more taxes to keep K-12 in Rossland as an (choose all that apply):
   - [ ] public school within SD20
   - [ ] public school as a Municipal School District
   - [ ] Independent school (Grades 10-12)
   - [ ] I am not willing to pay more taxes for any of the above

2. How much additional tax would you be willing to pay to maintain K-12 with SD20 in Rossland?
   - [ ] I would pay $0
   - [ ] I would pay $15 - $35/year
   - [ ] I would pay $35 - $55/year
   - [ ] I would pay $55 - $75/year
   - [ ] I would pay $75 - $100/year
   - [ ] I would pay over $100/year

3. If you answered more than zero for the previous question, for how many years would you be willing to pay this tax increase for a K-12 with SD20 in Rossland?
   - [ ] Up to 10 years
   - [ ] In perpetuity

4. How much additional tax would you be willing to pay to maintain K-12 in Rossland as a Municipal School District?
   - [ ] I would pay $0
   - [ ] I would pay $15 - $35/year
   - [ ] I would pay $35 - $55/year
   - [ ] I would pay $55 - $75/year
   - [ ] I would pay $75 - $100/year
   - [ ] I would pay over $100/year

5. If you answered more than zero for the previous question, for how many years would you be willing to pay this tax increase to maintain a K-12 in Rossland as a Municipal School District?
   - [ ] Up to 10 years
   - [ ] In perpetuity

6. How much additional tax would you be willing to pay to maintain Grades 10-12 in Rossland as an Independent School?
   - [ ] I would pay $0
   - [ ] I would pay $15 - $35/year
   - [ ] I would pay $35 - $55/year
   - [ ] I would pay $55 - $75/year
   - [ ] I would pay $75 - $100/year
   - [ ] I would pay over $100/year

7. If you answered more than zero for the previous question, for how many years would you be willing to pay this tax increase for Grades 10-12 as an independent school in Rossland?
   - [ ] Up to 10 years
   - [ ] In perpetuity

8. Tell us about yourself. Check all that are applicable.
   - [ ] I am a Rossland resident.
   - [ ] I am a Rossland taxpayer/homeowner or business owner.
   - [ ] I have kids currently in K-12 system.
   - [ ] I have kids who will be entering the K-12 system.

9. COMMENTS

   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________
Taxation Survey Analysis
March 19, 2013
DRAFT

Survey Overview
The Rossland Neighborhood of Learning (NOL) Taxation Survey was launched on March 4, 2013 on-line. Paper copies of the survey were mail dropped to all Rossland postal boxes on March 5, 2013. The deadline for completion of the survey was March 10, 2013.

The goal of the survey was to determine the willingness of Rossland residents to pay more taxes to support keeping K-12 in Rossland as part of School District 20 (SD20), as a Municipal School District and as an independent grade 10-12 school.

Survey respondents were also asked to indicate the dollar amount of extra taxes they would be willing to pay for each option and over what time frame (3-5 years as a bridging strategy, up to 10 years and in perpetuity). Respondents were also permitted to leave comments.

This survey analysis was prepared by the Rossland Neighborhood of Learning Committee for Rossland City Council.

Please note that at the time this analysis was prepared, 21 additional paper copy surveys had been received at City Hall. These 21 surveys have not yet been incorporated into the analysis and a decision will have to be made with regard to how they will be treated. In addition, the detailed content analysis of the comments has not yet been undertaken. These two items will be addressed in the final analysis that will be provided to City Council on March 25th. As a result, this analysis is marked draft. Nevertheless the quantitative results provided here will not be affected more than a few tenths of a percentage point by the 21 additional surveys or the comment analysis.

If Council has any questions that it would like addressed in the analysis in addition to what has been provided here and what is proposed to be provided on the 25th, please let the NOL Committee know. To the extent that it is possible to answer these questions from the data collected, all attempts will be made to do so.

Data Cleaning
It was very important that the results of the taxation survey be as valid as possible. Thus it was very important to control as much as possible for non-Rossland residents responses to the survey, as well as duplicate responses to the survey by the same individual. In addition, Rossland residents were requested to submit only one survey per household. Thus it was also important to control for more than one response per household.

To help ensure that these controls were achieved as much as possible, survey respondents were requested to provide their names and addresses in a separate linked survey on-line and on a sign-up sheet when dropping off their paper copies at City Hall. Of the 556 responses received, 413 people provided their name and address on-line and 67 provided their names and addresses in person at City Hall for a total of 480 names and addresses. These databases of names and addresses were examined for:
• Duplicate names and addresses;
• Duplicate addresses; and
• Addresses outside the municipal boundaries.

The following data cleaning techniques were applied, based on this analysis, using the survey IP addresses.

• For duplicate names and addresses, if there were two associated surveys, meaning that a single person had filled out the survey twice, the first survey was disqualified; (0 cases of disqualification);
• For duplicate addresses, meaning that two or more individuals in a household had completed a survey, the first survey was disqualified in households where two surveys were completed, and the first two surveys were disqualified in households where three surveys were completed; (16 cases of disqualification);
• For addresses outside the municipal boundaries, the associated survey was disqualified (7 cases of disqualification).

As a result, the total number of responses was reduced from 557 to 535. The double address and out of town address responses in the name and address survey database were also deleted to bring the total number of respondents who provided their names to 456. This means that 79 anonymous surveys were completed.

In addition, the larger database of survey data was analyzed for duplicate IP addresses. These could be legitimate responses, as it was evident in the name database that at least 19 respondents share IP addresses with one or more other party or filled out surveys at other people’s houses. However the number of anonymous duplicate IP addresses would give some sense of the possible number of respondents who completed the survey twice. None of these surveys were deleted as there was no way of determining whether these were legitimate duplicate IP addresses or not. In total, there were 6 duplicate anonymous IP addresses. This suggests that for the most part, most Rossland residents submitted only one survey per household.

It is acknowledged that this is not a perfect means of assuring validity in the responses. If someone wanted to complete the survey twice they could complete it at work and at home. It is possible that of the 100 people who did not submit names and addresses, there were some duplicate responses and responses from outside of the city boundaries. However given the limited number of duplicate IPs and the degree of honesty displayed by the respondents who did submit their names and addresses, it is unlikely that these constitute a large number of the 79 respondents for which names and addresses were not received.

At worst, the 79 people who did not submit their names and addresses can be considered a margin of error on the data and the confidence levels and intervals for the data, expressed below are the same within a percentage or two.

Confidence Level and Interval

Confidence interval and level were calculated for the survey responses received. The confidence interval is also called margin of error. It is the plus or minus figure that is attached to individual survey results. For example, if your confidence interval is 4% and 47% percent of
your sample picks a certain answer you can be "sure" that if the entire population had responded, between 43% (47-4) and 51% (47+4) would have picked that answer.

The confidence level tells you how sure you can be regarding the accuracy of the confidence interval, or in other words how sure you can be about the percentage of the entire population that would answer within the confidence interval. The 95% confidence level means you can be 95% certain. The 99% confidence level means you can be 99% certain. 95% is an accepted confidence level in research, but if sufficient survey responses are received, 99% can be utilized and provides an even higher level of certainty.

Given that 535 responses were received out of an estimated 1500 households using a confidence level of 99%, the confidence interval is 4.5%. This means that if the answer to a particular question was 60%, we can be 99% sure that the true percentage of the entire population, for that answer, is between 55.5% and 64.5%.

Profile of Respondents
The vast majority of respondents were either Rossland residents (84%) or Rossland taxpayers/home owners/business owners (91%) or both (76%). Slightly under half of the respondents (49%) have children who are either school-aged or younger. Given the demographic population profile of Rossland, this does suggest that residents or taxpayers/home owners/business owners, were slightly more likely to participate in the survey.

Willingness to Pay More Taxes
As highlighted in table one, the majority of survey respondents are willing to pay more taxes to support both K-12 as a public school with SD 20 (60%) and K-12 as a public school with a Municipal School District (58%). A sizable number (42%), but not the majority, were willing to pay more taxes for an independent school (grades 10-12). Only 29% of respondents were not willing to pay more taxes for any of the K-12 options outlined in the survey.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Willingness to Pay More Taxes</th>
<th>Percentage of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public school with SD20</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public school - MSD</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent School (10-12)</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not willing to pay more</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It should be noted that the responses differed somewhat based on the profiles of the respondents. Respondents with children, school aged or younger, were more willing to pay more taxes with 67% in support of more taxes to support K-12 within SD20, 69% in support of more taxes to support a municipal school district and 52% in favour of more taxes to support an independent school. Only 19% of the respondents with children school aged or younger opposed an increase in taxes to support any of the K-12 options in Rossland.

In contrast, respondents who do not have children school aged or younger, were less likely to be willing to pay more taxes, with 39% indicating they are not willing to pay more taxes, while 54% were willing to pay more taxes to support K-12 within SD20, 47% were willing to support a municipal school district and 34% were willing to pay more taxes to support an independent school.

It is worth noting however that even amongst the respondents who do not have children school aged or younger, the majority were still willing to pay more taxes to support K-12 within SD20.

**Amount and Time Frame of Taxes**

Respondents were asked to indicate the amount of taxes they would be willing to pay for the K-12 options outlined in the survey and for what time frame.

**K-12 in a Public School with SD20**

The amount respondents were willing to pay per year for K-12 in a public school within SD20 is shown in both table 2 and 3. Overall, a large majority of taxpayers (70%) were willing to pay more than $15/year for K-12 as a public school within SD20 and 20% were willing to pay more than $100/year. It is important to note that the majority (53%) were willing to pay more than $55/year for K-12 as a public school within SD20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Respondents</th>
<th>Pay &gt;0</th>
<th>Pay 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15-$35</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35-$55</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$55-$75</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75-$100</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over $100</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

K-12 in Public School with SD20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Respondents</th>
<th>Pay 0</th>
<th>Pay &gt;0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>over $100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75-$100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$55-$75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35-$55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15-$35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of time frame for the tax, the respondents were split, with 45% willing to pay the additional tax for 3-5 years as a bridging strategy, 17% willing to pay for up to ten years and 39% willing to pay in perpetuity.

The majority of respondents with children were willing to pay more in additional taxes ($75 per year or higher) and for a longer period of time (in perpetuity).

K-12 in a Municipal School District

The amount respondents were willing to pay per year for K-12 in a municipal school district is shown in both table 4 and 5. Again, a large majority of taxpayers (67%) were willing to pay more than $15/year for K-12 in a municipal school district and 22% were willing to pay more than $100/year. It is important to note again that the majority (53%) were willing to pay more than $55/year for K-12 in a municipal school district.

Table 4

K-12 as a Municipal School District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pay &gt;0</th>
<th>Pay 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-35</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-55</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-75</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-100</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over 100</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In terms of time frame for the tax, it is important to note that respondents were willing to support a tax to support a municipal school district for longer than a tax to support K-12 within SD20, with 28% willing to pay the additional tax for 3-5 years as a bridging strategy, 21% willing to pay for up to ten years and 52% willing to pay in perpetuity. As with the previous category, the majority of respondents with children were willing to pay more (over $75/year) for a longer period of time (in perpetuity).

10-12 as an Independent School
The amount respondents were willing to pay per year for 10-12 as an independent school is shown in both table 6 and 7. Overall, a majority of taxpayers (57%) were willing to pay more than $15/year for K-12 as an independent school and 19% were willing to pay more than $100/year. It is important to note that in general, the total dollar amount of taxes that the majority of respondents are willing to pay for this option is lower than for the other options. However based on the responses to this question, when faced with the actual dollar amounts of a potential tax increase (as opposed to question one which just asked if they were willing to support a tax increase), the majority of respondents are still willing to pay more than $0.

Table 6
In terms of time frame for the tax, it is important to note that as with the municipal school district, respondents were willing to support a tax to support an independent school for longer than a tax to support K-12 within SD20, with 34% willing to pay the additional tax for 3-5 years as a bridging strategy, 20% willing to pay for up to ten years and 46% willing to pay in perpetuity. As with the previous two options, respondents with children school-aged or younger were willing to pay more taxes and for a longer period of time.

Comments
There were many comments received in association with the Taxation Survey. Strong themes included the need to keep K-12 in Rossland, the low cost of tax increases compared to the potential loss in property values if Rossland no longer has K-12 within the community, issues of high existing taxation and concerns regarding the principle of supporting education, which is an area of provincial responsibility.

A detailed content analysis will be undertaken and provided to Council on March 25th.

Conclusions
Overall, it is evident that the majority of taxpayers are willing to support a tax increase to support K-12 within SD20 and within a Municipal School District. The majority is willing to pay more than $55/year for both of these options. However they are more willing to support a tax increase to support tax increase for a Municipal School District in perpetuity and tax increase for K-12 within SD20 only as a bridging strategy.

Support to increase taxes to support an independent school was slightly lower. However, the majority of respondents were still willing to pay at least $15 to support an independent school. In all cases, the respondents with children were willing to pay more for all three options and for a longer period of time.
THE CITY OF ROSSLAND
REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION

DATE : March 25, 2013

TOPIC : Columbia/Washington Project

PROPOSAL : Purchase of Street Furniture

PROPOSED BY : Manager of Operations and Manager of Planning

SUMMARY:
The Columbia/Washington revitalization project included street furniture which was excluded from the final contract prior to commencement of the project. Staff have since contacted the distributors directly and have received reasonable cost estimates which do not include contract administration and installation fees. To complete the amenities portion of the Columbia/Washington project by purchasing the street furniture as presented in the original plan and displayed in the drawings submitted to and approved by Council prior to construction in 2012.

Street furniture includes benches, picnic tables, bike racks, garbage/recycling bins, and one mining cart. The installation of street furniture ensures that the downtown both appears and functions as an inviting setting to visitors and residents alike. The three-block span of the Columbia Ave corridor in the downtown core is essentially Rossland’s “living room” so furnishing it after a major renovation completes the job. The requesting for approval for street furniture funding is based upon the impracticality of including these items for installation in 2012 (just prior to winter conditions) and the cost advantage realized through a direct order from the city. As there’s a 4 – 10 week period for delivery depending on item, there is some urgency to have all items ordered in time for drier, warmer weather. It is anticipated that all items, if ordered in the next week, will be installed by June 1, 2013.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:
THAT Council direct staff to purchase all street furniture as displayed in the plans approved for construction in May 2012 to a maximum expenditure of $101,335.

OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:
Option 1: THAT Council direct staff to purchase all street furniture as displayed in the plans approved for construction in May 2012 to a maximum expenditure of $101,335.

Option 2: THAT Council direct staff to purchase less furniture with a lesser amount.

Option 3: THAT Council not direct staff to purchase street furniture.
COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS – REVENUE GENERATION:
Staff estimates a savings of approx. $13,000 by the City directly purchasing the street furniture instead of having this portion of the project completed by the Contractor. The proposed costs will appear in the finalized 2013 budget and will be financed as part of total project funding strategy.

LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:
Downtown Core Section 19.12 Supports capital investments in Downtown, including streetscape improvements, street furniture, public art and landscaping to increase the attractiveness of Downtown.

Manager of Operations

Reviewed by City Manager

MPDS
- SITE FURNISHING

- MOUNTAIN CLASSIC PARK BENCH
  - MODEL: MCSB-5
  - COLOUR: BLACK
  - SLATS: SAND
  - SURFACE MOUNT AS PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS
  - SUPPLIED BY: PARK & PLAY
  - CONTACT: 1-866-551-8188
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 11

- MOUNTAIN CLASSIC STRAIGHT BENCH
  - MODEL: MCSB-5
  - COLOUR: BLACK
  - SLATS: SAND
  - SURFACE MOUNT AS PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS
  - SUPPLIED BY: PARK & PLAY
  - CONTACT: 1-866-551-8188
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 12

- BIKE POST BICYCLE RACK
  - MODEL: BP-F-SS
  - COLOUR: BLACK
  - REMOVABLE MOUNT AS PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS
  - SUPPLIED BY: CREATIVE PIPE INC.
  - CONTACT: 1-800-644-8467
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 14

- LEANING BIKES RACK
  - MODEL: LBR 4-F-SS
  - COLOUR: BLACK
  - SURFACE MOUNT AS PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS
  - SUPPLIED BY: CREATIVE PIPE INC.
  - CONTACT: 1-800-644-8467
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 5

- BEAR RESISTANT WASTE RECEPTACLE - WITH FOOT PEDAL
  - MODEL: MCTR-21/WFT-1
  - COLOUR: BLACK
  - SLATS: SAND
  - SURFACE MOUNT AS PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS
  - SUPPLIED BY: PARKWORKS
  - CONTACT: 1-866-551-8188
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 14

- RECYCLE CONTAINER - SHOP DRAWINGS MUST BE SUPPLIED AND REVIEWED PRIOR TO INSTALL
  - MODEL: MCTR-21
  - COLOUR: BLACK WITH RECYCLE SYMBOLS AND LABEL
  - SLATS: SAND
  - SURFACE MOUNT AS PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS
  - SUPPLIED BY: PARKWORKS
  - CONTACT: 1-866-551-8188
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 1

- CLUSTER SEATING - SHOP DRAWINGS MUST BE SUPPLIED AND REVIEWED PRIOR TO INSTALL
  - MODEL: PKPT-6 WITH TOP OF BVPT-6
  - COLOUR: BLACK
  - SURFACE MOUNT AS PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS
  - SLATS: SAND
  - SUPPLIED BY: PARKWORKS
  - CONTACT: 1-866-551-8188
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 12

- CUSTOM GRANITE BENCH
  - SEE DRAWING
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 8

- MOUNTAIN CLASSIC STRAIGHT BENCH - WITH NO ARMS
  - MODEL: MCSB-5
  - COLOUR: BLACK
  - SLATS: SAND
  - SURFACE MOUNT AS PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS
  - SUPPLIED BY: PARK & PLAY
  - CONTACT: 1-866-551-8188
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 24

- BOULDER SEAT
  - SEE DRAWING
  - CONTRACT QUANTITY: 8

---

**Project**

**SURFACE TREATMENT / SITE FURNISHING / PLAN**

City of Rossland
1899 Columbia Ave, Rossland, B.C. V0G 1Y0
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF ROSSLAND  
Council Information Summary

Date: March 25, 2013  
Agenda: March 15, 2013  
Proposal: To Receive the Items Summarized for Information  
Proposed by: Staff

Staff Recommendation:
1. General recommended motion:
   THAT information items numbered 6(a) to 6(m) be received and acted upon as recommended.

3. Alternative motion if an item needs further consideration:
   THAT information items numbered 6(a) to 6(m), except for items numbered-----be received and acted upon as recommended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>SUBJECT MATTER</th>
<th>RECOMMENDATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6(a)</td>
<td>Letter from Alex Atamanenko, MP</td>
<td>Regarding Canada Post moving towards a new smaller business model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(b)</td>
<td>Village of Montrose</td>
<td>Invitation to participate in parade during Family Fun Days June 8, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(c)</td>
<td>Vision for Small Schools</td>
<td>New Post –Options for K-12 in Rossland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(d)</td>
<td>Neighbourhood of Learning</td>
<td>Rossland K-12 Taxation Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(e)</td>
<td>UBCM – Letter dated Jan 25, 2013</td>
<td>Progress Payment (Rossland Operational Treatment, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(f)</td>
<td>Kootenay Boundary Regional Hospital District</td>
<td>Spotlight Newsletter for February 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(g)</td>
<td>Building Inspection Department -Building Permit Report for February 2013 -Development Permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(h)</td>
<td>City of Rossland Report by Stacey Lightbourne, Planning Assistant</td>
<td>Climate Action Revenue Incentive (CARIP) Public Report for 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(i)</td>
<td>Ministry of Community, Sport &amp; Cultural Development</td>
<td>Strategic Community Investment Fund letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(j)</td>
<td>Human Resources and Skills Development Canada</td>
<td>Prime Minister’s Volunteer Award</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(k)</td>
<td>City of Rossland Heritage Commission</td>
<td>Minutes of Regular Meeting of March 4, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(l)</td>
<td>UBCM</td>
<td>The Compass Newsletter for March 13, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(m)</td>
<td>Resort Municipality Initiative</td>
<td>Agreement dated January 1, 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
February 18, 2013

CITY OF ROSSLAND, B.C.

Mayor Greg Granstrom & Council
City of Rossland
P.O. Box 1179
Rossland, BC V0G 1Y0

Dear Mayor Granstrom and City of Rossland Councillors,

I am writing in regard to the recent decision by Canada Post to review every post office across the country in an effort to move toward a “new smaller retail model”.

What I have learned has me concerned. If Canada Post makes post offices smaller, services levels are likely to decline, resulting in decreasing revenue.

Closing and/or shrinking of postal outlets in response to declining revenues will, in turn, force people to go to private sector outlets where they are available. Of course, this is not always the case in areas that are sparsely populated. These outlets are not as accountable to Canada Post and their workers are often underpaid and overworked.

There are better options to generate revenue. Instead of downsizing, Canada Post could expand and move into new services such as postal banking, which has proved to be a good financial move in other countries such as Australia.

It is my view that cuts to public services effect rural and remote areas more severely than in larger communities because there are fewer alternatives available. Every job lost also has a significant impact due to the loss of employment from the area.

If you feel that this is important to you, attached please find a possible resolution prepared...
by the Canadian Union of Postal Workers that could be mailed to the Minister in charge of Canada Post, Stephen Fletcher.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Thank you for your consideration of this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Alex Azamanenko, MP
BC Southern Interior

Encl. 1 page
Resolution On Keeping Our Public Post Offices Open And Improving The Canadian Postal Service Charter

WHEREAS the federal government has approved Canada Post's corporate plan for 2012-2016, which signals the corporation's intent to close an unspecified number of public post offices in urban areas.

WHEREAS Canada Post has been closing many urban post offices and may plan on closing our public post office/s.

WHEREAS the government's Canadian Postal Service Charter includes an expectation that Canada Post will inform people at least one month prior to closing, moving or amalgamating their public post office and explore options that address people's concerns.

WHEREAS a month is an inadequate amount of time for a whole community to discuss a closure and explore options.

WHEREAS the Canadian Postal Service Charter does not provide for sufficient transparency and accountability at Canada Post and needs to be improved.

WHEREAS public post offices play a key role in our social and economic life by providing the infrastructure that healthy communities need to thrive and businesses need to grow.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the (name of municipality, business or organization) write a letter to Steven Fletcher, the Minister responsible for Canada Post and ask that he 1) instruct Canada Post to keep public post office/s in (name of city/town here) open 2) consult with the public, their elected representatives, postal unions and other major stakeholders to dramatically improve the Canadian Postal Service Charter, including developing a better process for making changes to the retail and delivery network.

MAILING INFORMATION

Please send your resolution to: Steven Fletcher, Minister of State for Transport, Place de Ville, Tower C, 29th Floor, 330 Sparks Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0N5.

Please send copies of your resolution to:

1. Denis Lemelin, President, Canadian Union of Postal Workers, 377 Bank Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K2P 1Y3
2. Your member of Parliament. You can get your MP's name, phone number and address by calling 1-800 463-6868 (at no charge) or going to the Parliament of Canada website: http://www.parl.gc.ca/commpn/lorlex.asp?
3. Karen Leibovici, President, Federation of Canadian Municipalities, 24 Clarence St, Ottawa, Ontario K1N 5P3

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

Contact George Floresco 3rd National Vice President, Canadian Union of Postal Workers, 377 Bank Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K2P 1Y3, (613) 236-7230 (ext 7909)
Alex Atamanenko, British Columbia Southern Interior, BC

Mr. Speaker, the Conservatives are at it again. Post offices around the country have been closed. Now we have learned there are even more cutbacks on the way. They are talking about reducing the number of home deliveries per week and closing more post offices. These types of closures hit people in rural and remote areas even harder because they are farther away from service centres of all types. People rely upon our postal services to get their pay and their benefits.

Why are the Conservatives continuing with their reckless Canada Post cuts?

Steven Fletcher Minister of State (Transport)

Mr. Speaker, I reject everything that member just said. It is this government that brought in the rural moratorium on post office closures.

It is known that there has been a decline by up to 20% in mail volume since 2007. There have been losses in the past year of $253 million between Canada Post and its subsidiaries.

However, our government will work with Canada Post to ensure that it will be able to continue to provide services to Canadians in a way that is sustainable in the long term.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outlet / Bureau</th>
<th>Address / Adresse</th>
<th>City / Ville</th>
<th>Prov / PC / CP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70 POWELL RIVER MAIN PO</td>
<td>4812 JOYCE AVE</td>
<td>POWELL RIVER</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 PRINCETON PO</td>
<td>185 BRIDGE ST</td>
<td>PRINCETON</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 QUESNEL MAIN PO</td>
<td>346 REID ST</td>
<td>QUESNEL</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 REVELSTOKE PO</td>
<td>301 3RD ST W</td>
<td>REVELSTOKE</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 ROSSLAND PO</td>
<td>2096 COLUMBIA AVE</td>
<td>ROSSLAND</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 SALMON ARM MAIN</td>
<td>370 HUDSON ST NE</td>
<td>SALMON ARM</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 SIDNEY RETAIL PO</td>
<td>2513 BEACON AVE</td>
<td>SIDNEY</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77 SMITHERS PO</td>
<td>3738 3RD AVE</td>
<td>SMITHERS</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78 SPARWOOD PO</td>
<td>126 ASPEN DR</td>
<td>SPARWOOD</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79 SQUAMISH PO</td>
<td>38064 CLEVELAND AVE</td>
<td>SQUAMISH</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 SUMMERLAND PO</td>
<td>13246 NORTH VICTORIA RD</td>
<td>SUMMERLAND</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 TERRACE MAIN PO</td>
<td>3232 EMERSON ST</td>
<td>TERRACE</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82 TRAIL MAIN PO</td>
<td>805 SPOKANE ST</td>
<td>TRAIL</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83 VANDERHOOF PO</td>
<td>192 STEWART ST</td>
<td>VANDERHOOF</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84 VERNON MAIN PO</td>
<td>3101 VERNON AVE</td>
<td>VERNON</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 WILLIAMS LAKE MAIN PO</td>
<td>48 2ND AVE S</td>
<td>WILLIAMS LAKE</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86 ALTONA PO</td>
<td>2 AVE NE</td>
<td>ALTONA</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87 DAUPHIN PO</td>
<td>321 MAIN ST N</td>
<td>DAUPHIN</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 FLORENCE MAIN PO</td>
<td>54 FLORENCE AVE</td>
<td>FLORENCE</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 MORDEN PO</td>
<td>101 8TH ST</td>
<td>MORDEN</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 NEPEAPA PO</td>
<td>454 BROWN ST</td>
<td>NEPEAPA</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 PORTAGE LA PLAINS MAIN PO</td>
<td>9 SASKATCHEWAN AVE</td>
<td>PORTAGE LA PLAINS</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92 SELKIRK MAIN PO</td>
<td>356 MAIN ST</td>
<td>SELKIRK</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93 SWAN RIVER PO</td>
<td>205 5TH AVE N</td>
<td>SWAN RIVER</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 THE PAS MAIN PO</td>
<td>161 FISHER AVE</td>
<td>THE PAS</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 THOMPSON MAIN PO</td>
<td>103 SELKIRK AVE</td>
<td>THOMPSON</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 VIBURNUM PO</td>
<td>270 7TH AVE S</td>
<td>VIBURNUM</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97 WINKLER MAIN PO</td>
<td>312 SUDER ST</td>
<td>WINKLER</td>
<td>MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 BATHURST PO</td>
<td>495 KING AVENUE</td>
<td>BATHURST</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 CAMPBELLTON MAIN PO</td>
<td>35 ROSEBERRY ST</td>
<td>CAMPBELLTON</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 DALHIAUSKI MAIN PO</td>
<td>430 ADELAIDE ST</td>
<td>DALHIAUSKI</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 EDMUNSTON MAIN PO</td>
<td>22 EMHARDER ST</td>
<td>EDMUNSTON</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102 GRAND FALLS/GRAND-SAULT MAIN PO</td>
<td>373 BROADWAY ST</td>
<td>GRAND FALLS</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103 MIRAMICHI AREA H PO</td>
<td>305 PLEASANT ST</td>
<td>MIRAMICHI</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104 MIRAMICHI CHATHAM PO</td>
<td>2 JOHNSON AVE</td>
<td>MIRAMICHI</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 MONCTON MAIN PO</td>
<td>281 ST GEORGE AVE</td>
<td>MONCTON</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106 ROTHESAY MAIN PO</td>
<td>2 CHURCH AVE</td>
<td>ROTHESAY</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107 SACKVILLE PO</td>
<td>86 MAIN ST</td>
<td>SACKVILLE</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108 ST STEPHEN MAIN PO</td>
<td>93 MILLTOWN BLVD</td>
<td>ST STEPHEN</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 SUSSEX MAIN PO</td>
<td>48 MAPLE AVE</td>
<td>SUSSEX</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 TRADIC-GEHLA MAIN PO</td>
<td>3658 MAIN ST</td>
<td>TRADIC-GEHLA</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111 WOODSTOCK AREA N PO</td>
<td>680 MAIN ST</td>
<td>WOODSTOCK</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112 BOTWOOD PO</td>
<td>267 WATER ST</td>
<td>BOTWOOD</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113 CARBONEAR MAIN PO</td>
<td>206 WATER ST</td>
<td>CARBONEAR</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114 CHANNEL-PORT-AUX-BASQUES PO</td>
<td>3 MAIN ST</td>
<td>CHANNEL-PORT-AUX-BASQUES</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115 CLARENCEVILLE MAIN PO</td>
<td>256 MEMORIAL DR</td>
<td>CLARENCEVILLE</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116 COREN BROOK PO</td>
<td>43 MAPLE VALLEY RD</td>
<td>CORNER BROOK</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117 DEER LAKE MAIN PO</td>
<td>19 OLD BONNE BAY RD</td>
<td>DEER LAKE</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118 GANDER MAIN PO</td>
<td>130 ARMSTRONG BLVD</td>
<td>GANDER</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119 GRAND FALLS-WINDSOR MAIN PO</td>
<td>16 HIGH ST</td>
<td>GRAND FALLS-WINDSOR</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 LABRADOR CITY MAIN PO</td>
<td>500 VANIER ST</td>
<td>LABRADOR CITY</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121 LEWISPORTS PO</td>
<td>135 MAIN ST</td>
<td>LEWISPORTS</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122 MOUNT PEARL PO</td>
<td>29 - 33 COMMONWEALTH AVE</td>
<td>MOUNT PEARL</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123 SPRINGDALE PO</td>
<td>161 MAIN ST</td>
<td>SPRINGDALE</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124 ST ANTHONY PO</td>
<td>281 MAIN ST</td>
<td>ST ANTHONY</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125 ST. JOHNS C P</td>
<td>354 WATER ST</td>
<td>ST. JOHNS</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126 STEPHENVILLE MAIN PO</td>
<td>144 MAIN ST</td>
<td>STEPHENVILLE</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127 WABUSH PO</td>
<td>34 GREEN AVE</td>
<td>WABUSH</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 AMHERST MAIN PO</td>
<td>38 AMHERST AVE</td>
<td>AMHERST</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129 ANTIKONGISH MAIN PO</td>
<td>325 MAIN ST</td>
<td>ANTIKONGISH</td>
<td>BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130 BRIDG WATER MAIN PO</td>
<td>135 NORTH STREET</td>
<td>BRIDG WATER</td>
<td>BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131 DARTMOUTH MAIN PO</td>
<td>53 QUEEN ST</td>
<td>DARTMOUTH</td>
<td>BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132 DIXIE PO</td>
<td>9 WATER ST</td>
<td>DIXIE</td>
<td>BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133 GLACE BAY MAIN PO</td>
<td>600 ICE ST</td>
<td>GLACE BAY</td>
<td>BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134 GREENWOOD PO</td>
<td>204 GREENWOOD AVE</td>
<td>GREENWOOD</td>
<td>BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 HAFifax CENTRAL PO</td>
<td>1680 BEDFORD ROW</td>
<td>HALIFAX</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136 KENTVILLE MAIN PO</td>
<td>495 MAIN ST</td>
<td>KENTVILLE</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137 LIVEPOOL PO</td>
<td>176 MAIN ST</td>
<td>LIVEPOOL</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138 LUNenburg POD</td>
<td>242 LINCOLN ST</td>
<td>LUNenburg</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Canada Post wants to introduce "new smaller retail model"  
All post offices to be reviewed

The corporation recently announced it will be reviewing every post office across the country to see if outlets can be reconfigured along the lines of "a new smaller retail model."

Canada Post tested this model in Chatham, Ontario in November 2012. It made changes to the post office very quickly and renovated it over one weekend. The results were not good. The office:

- Lost 1.5 retail positions.
- Has customers complaining about wait times.
- Only has one retail point-of-sale register.
- Is poorly designed: There is a window wicket for customer service, with all products behind the clerk.
- Is expected to bring in less revenue.

In other words, the financial viability of post offices and service levels are likely to decline if Canada Post decides to make post offices smaller. Furthermore, if revenue decreases, the corporation could argue that post offices should close.

Instead of downsizing, downgrading and closing public post offices, Canada Post could expand and leverage its retail network like Australia Post is doing. It could also add new revenue-generating services such as postal banking, which has proved to be a money-maker in other countries.

There are lots of options Canada Post could consider instead of undermining public post offices that are important to people, businesses and communities.

What can you do?

CUPW National has sent materials to locals to assist them in combatting the downsizing and downgrading of offices.

Let your local know if you'd like to help. You can also help fight closures and cuts by signing a letter to your MP and asking community groups and other organizations to pass a resolution. Ask your local for this material, or go to www.publicpostoffice.ca

In Solidarity,

Denis Lemelin
National President

2011-2015 / Bulletin # 133
D/Th cop 229
March 1, 2013

City of Rossland & Royalty
Box 1179
Rossland, BC  V0G 1Y0

Dear City of Rossland & Royalty:

The Village of Montrose will be celebrating Family Fun Days on June 8, 2013. We would like to invite you to participate in our parade and join in with the festivities.

Parade assembly begins at 10:30 a.m. on the south side of the highway at 7th Street and 9th Avenue. The parade will begin at 11:00 a.m. sharp and will proceed down 9th Avenue to 3rd Street and disperse as directed.

If you are interested in being part of our annual parade, please complete the form included and return by May 24, 2013 to: The Village of Montrose
Parade Committee
PO Box 510
Montrose, BC  VOG 1P0
FAX: (250) 367-7288

Any questions concerning the parade may be directed to the Village office at 205-367-7234 or by emailing admin@montrose.ca.

Sincerely,

Joe Danchuk
Mayor
NAME OF ORGANIZATION

CONTACT PERSON

PHONE #

MAILING ADDRESS

CITY

POSTAL CODE

TYPE OF ENTRY: (check - which apply)
- On Foot
- Car/Truck
- Pulled Float
- Self Propelled Float
- Other:

DOES YOUR ENTRY INCLUDE MUSIC? Type

DOES YOUR ENTRY INCLUDE ANIMALS? Yes No

# of Animals Kind of animals

Any special information about your entry you would like to tell us about.

____________________________________________________

____________________________________________________

Please return entries to: Village of Montrose
PO Box 510
Montrose, B.C. V0G 1PO
FAX: (250) 367-7288

Any questions please call daytime (250) 367-7234 or email admin@montrose.ca

No Entry Fee
No Religious or Political Handouts Please
No Articles to be thrown from any float/vehicle during the parade. Items or goodies may be handed out by someone delivering to spectators.
Safety issues are the concern of the participants. We request vehicles not leak oil.

The Village of Montrose and The Montrose Recreation Commission and any volunteers cannot be held responsible for any loss, damage, injury or expense that may be suffered as a result of participation in this parade due to any cause whatsoever.
FYI

Councillor Jill Spearn
City of Rossland

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Rossland — a Vision for Small Schools" <comment-reply@wordpress.com>
Date: 4 March, 2013 10:06:02 PM PST
To: jspearn@telus.net
Subject: [New post] Options for K-12 in Rossland
Reply-To: "Rossland — a Vision for Small Schools"
<comment+ef00xulaphnudhzc831pjm@comment.wordpress.com>

Shelley Ackerman posted: "If you missed the public meeting at RSS last week, or just need some clarification, the following is an overview of presentation given. At their third reading last week, SD20 trustees voted in favour of the motion to close MacLean Elementary and make RSS"

Respond to this post by replying above this line

New post on Rossland — a Vision for Small Schools

Options for K-12 in Rossland
by Shelley Ackerman

If you missed the public meeting at RSS last week, or just need some clarification, the following is an overview of presentation given.

At their third reading last week, SD20 trustees voted in favour of the motion to close MacLean Elementary and make RSS a K-9 school. Presumably it will be sold to the Francophone school district and École des Septs Sommets will move in there. Hopefully SD20 will lease space from them and continue the Strong Start program in the building. This decision has been a long time coming, and now we can move forward.
With K-9 at RSS, the grade 9s would bus down to JL Crowe, the innovative Blended Learning program pioneered by RSS will be killed, a third of the athletic teams in the district will be lost, at least one administrator (we’re not sure about teachers) will lose a job, long-term teaching colleagues will be fragmented, and the future of how the Academy programs will operate is uncertain.

The loss of K-12 in Rossland will result in the potential loss of current families, it makes it more difficult to attract families to Rossland, revenues from international and academy students will be lost, there will be negative impacts from the absence of the grades 10 to 12s in the community, and housing values will drop, all creating dynamic effects and possibly a downward spiral.

Rossland citizens have made it clear in letters and surveys that they want a publicly funded system that provides for all students in the community, K-12 so all students are educated in Rossland, cohesion of community, educational options and choices, K-12 as a key tenet of community sustainability, and the use of RSS facilities as community assets.

Even though the board has made their decision, the Neighbourhood of Learning committee and the citizens of Rossland are committed to keeping K-12 in Rossland. So what are our options?

- The City bridges funding to SD20 over a period of years to cover the difference in cost between K-9 and K-12 (SD20 rescinds the K-9 bylaw)
- The City buys the RSS building, with K-12 being offered in it by SD20 (SD20 rescinds the K-9 bylaw)
- Creation of municipal school district, redrawing the district boundaries or forming a charter school (all within the public system)
- Forming an Independent or Distance Learning school for grades 10-12, and possibly more grades later

**First option — Bridge Funding**

If the City were to offer SD20 $140,000 (the difference between the cost of K-9 and K-12) per year for a three-year deal, paid back over five years, the tax increase to residents on an assessed property value of $256,000 would be about $43/year for five years, or about $4 a month. This is balanced against the financial, social, and environmental costs of transporting kids to Trail, and the loss of educational choice for students in the whole district.

This partnership would keep K-12 Rossland for at least three more years within the public system of SD20, and helps get SD20 through their worst three budget years. After this, enrolments start to increase, and the district should start to be in better shape. It also gives us time to develop alternative options for possible self governance and to develop a business model, potentially in partnership with the Sustainability Commission.
If Rossland and SD20 agree on this option, RSS is still eligible for improvements and renos from ministry money. Besides keeping K-12 in Rossland, we suggest the City negotiate with the board to include City ownership of the Annex and partnerships with the City on RSS facilities (gym, auditorium, kitchen, shop, etc.) so that the community has greater use of them — all for the $140,000 a year for three years.

**Second option — The City purchases the RSS building**

The main advantage to this scenario is that the community will control the building and would be able to use it to its full extent, and the school district wouldn't be able to close it. After retaining ownership, the City would lease space to SD20 to offer K-12 in the building, offsetting operational costs. This way, the Blended Learning program could be retained and the academy and international programs could be expanded with the City promoting them and reaping the benefits. Custodial services could be contracted by the City, and the annual energy bill could be reduced through subsidized energy efficient retrofits and renewable energy options. If we are able to form our own municipal school district or charter school at a later date, we will have ownership of the facility.

It currently costs approximately $400,000/year to operate the RSS building (custodians, maintenance, insurance, utilities). The City could lease to SD20 for the operating cost minus $140,000 a year (the difference between K-9 and K-12). The tax increase per year to residents on an assessed property value of $256,000 would be about $64, or $5.50 a month. Capital repairs and renovations would have to be financed as needed. The benefit to the school district would be annual savings of approximately $140,000 a year for as long as they offer K-12 in Rossland, and removing the capital repairs and maintenance of the building from their books.

If these two options fail, then we need to look at other ways to keep grades 10 to 12 in Rossland, which are the third and fourth options mentioned above.

**Third option – Creation of municipal school district, redrawing the district boundaries or forming a charter school**

A municipal district would be a Rossland-only school district, but still part of the public system. There are currently no municipal school districts in BC, but they are common in the USA. We have discussed the possibility of this option with the Education Ministry deputy minister, and while he feels it isn't impossible, it would require creation of new legal framework within the province, and would need at least two years to be put into place.

School district boundaries could be redrawn to have us become a part of the Boundary or Kootenay Lake school district. Both SD20 and the new district would have to agree to the change.

Charter schools are public schools that have a greater degree of autonomy than a normal public school, to allow them to offer programs that are significantly different from regular public schools. Alberta is currently the only province to have them. We would lobby the ministry to consider the creation of charter schools in
All three of these options are long-term however. None can be put into place for this fall. These are all options we can work on over the next few years.

Fourth option(s) – Forming an Independent or Distributed Learning school for grades 10-12, and possibly more grades later

The following options are those initially considered by the NOL Committee. These options are considered to be achievable in the short and medium term, but could also provide the long term, stable and excellent solution that Rosslanders seek. Each of the options provides a different route to achieving a similar outcome — a school in Rossland that strives to meet the individual needs of every learner. While most immediately obvious, these options should not be considered to be the limit of those available. Variations, or completely new options, should not be overlooked.

First of all, there are two essentially two main categories.

1) The first category is a independent bricks and mortar school as defined by the BC Ministry of Education.
2) The second category is a school designated as a DL (Distributed Learning) school or centre. This option could be furthered divided into three distinct paths.

• An independent DL school
• A partnership with an existing public DL school
• A partnership with an existing independent DL school

These options vary in terms of logistical, administration and resource support needed to be achieved. Each of the options also vary in terms of available government funding provided to the school directly, or to a potential partner. Differences also exist in terms of community autonomy in decision making.

An independent bricks-and-mortar school might also be a long-term option, as it takes time to establish, and requires a facility. The Province funds up to 50% of what SD20 receives per student (approximately $4500 vs $9000), therefore it may require tuition. Some examples of independent schools in the region are in Kimberley and Fernie. They charge around $2500 a year for tuition. There is potential to bring in international students to help subsidize local students' tuition.

One possibility that is also under consideration is to initiate our own independent DL school. There are similar challenges as with the bricks-and-mortar school, but it could be logistically more feasible. Government funding is also less (approx. $3628) and we would still want to have a school. One benefit is that the school could eventually offer courses to students outside of Rossland.

The enticing aspect of an independent school is that it can better reflect the needs and values of our
community. The challenge is to be able to make it affordable for all Rossland students. It could initially be a grade 10-12 school, and then expand as the demand requires.

Probably the best option for this fall, if K-12 falls through with S20, is to partner with an existing public Distributed Learning school, for example VLearn (Vernon - SD #22), for grades 10-12. They receive $5851 in funding per student. We would need to rent a facility, and hopefully have the autonomy to hire teachers to work with students so it can be a personalized, blended-learning type of program — not a pure distributed learning model. This is less expensive than running an independent school, making it available to all students. The course offerings are broad, and we can still market international and academy programs within. From there, over time, we could develop into a full-fledged independent school. A variation of this option is to partner with an independent DL school. This option would likely provide more flexibility than partnering with a public DL, but the challenge comes with the funding provided by the province (approx. $3628).

Where do we go from here?

NOL is launching a survey to find out how Rosslanders feel about taxation for K-12, and the results will be given to Rossland council so they know how the community wants them to proceed with negotiations with SD20. It is available online at www.surveymonkey.com/s/NOLTaxation, and also on paper at City Hall, until Sunday, March 10. Please fill it out and encourage your friends and family to as well — only one survey per household please. Implementing a small tax increase is the only way we can keep K-12 in Rossland. Please let our mayor and councillors know that you support it.

In addition, the NOL is setting up two working groups — a Municipal School District task force which will investigate the creation of municipal school district, redrawing the district boundaries or forming a charter school option; and an Independent School task force which will investigate forming, or partnering with an Independent or Distributed Learning school for grades 10-12, and possibly more grades later. If you're interested in dedicating time to either of these task forces, please email NOL coordinator Aerin Guy at aeringuy@gmail.com.
ROSSLAND Neighbourhood of Learning Committee

ROSSLAND K-12 TAXATION SURVEY

Please fill in the survey on other side of this sheet and return to City Hall, or fill it out online at www.surveymonkey.com/s/NOLTaxation, by Sunday, March 10.

The Trustees of SD2o have voted to retain only K-9 education in Rossland in the current RSS building. MacLean Elementary would be closed and Grade 10-12 students would be bused to JL Crowe for Sept. 2013. Many Rosslanders have expressed their desire to retain K-12 in our community. We believe that retaining K-12 PUBLIC education in Rossland is only possible with financial support from the City of Rossland. This would be realized through an increase in property taxes. Losing the ability to educate all of our children in Rossland has economic, social and environmental consequences for all residents.

IMPACTS OF LOSING K-12

- Homeowners will see a drop in their house values (but not a drop in taxes) if there is no K-12 in Rossland. Even a 1% drop in the value of the average home means a loss of $2,560 in equity for the homeowner — enough to cover a tax increase of $50/year for over 50 years.
- Businesses in Rossland will be negatively impacted by the the loss of students shopping in the community after school hours, and parents shopping outside the community while they are transporting their kids.
- K-9 means the loss of the Academy and International Programs at RSS. A conservative estimate sees International students contributing between $25,000 and $35,000 per year to the local economy, through homestay fees, tuition fees, parental visits, and discretionary spending.
- Rossland's economy would contract as families move away to K-12 communities, and as new families decided against moving to Rossland because it lacked the K-12 available in other destinations. Lower population = same services = higher taxes.
- The Blended Learning Program at RSS is an exciting, innovative program that needs to be given the opportunity to flourish.

TAX IMPLICATIONS

The City of Rossland is examining several possibilities for covering the difference between the cost of K-12 and K-9 at RSS ($140,000/year). The options include short-term spending (e.g., a three-year agreement), and long-term spending (agreement in perpetuity). To give an idea what the tax burden might be:

- If the City were to offer SD2o $140,000 per year for a three-year deal, paid back over five years, the tax increase to residents on an assessed property value of $256,000 would be about $43/year for five years.
- If the City were to offer SD2o $140,000 per year indefinitely, the tax increase per year to residents on an assessed property value of $256,000 would be about $64.

Part of the negotiations could involve the City being able to use RSS as a community resource, housing Rossland Rec programs, renting out the kitchen and auditorium, etc.

The following questions ask about your willingness to pay tax increases to support certain school options in Rossland. The questions also ask how much of a tax increase you are willing to pay and for how long.

MUNICIPAL AND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL OPTIONS

The short-term bridging option assumes that these additional tax dollars would be used to support SD2o for 3-5 years until enrolment increases or until a Municipal School District or Independent School can be established. The in-perpetuity option assumes that these additional tax dollars will go towards the purchase of the RSS building by the City of Rossland, creating a long-term community asset, to be either leased back to SD2o or utilized as a Municipal School or an Independent School.

A Municipal School would be part of newly formed Municipal School District for Rossland only. This would be an option worked towards with the provincial government.

An Independent or Distance Learning school would initially be for grades 10-12, and possibly more grades later as demand increases. Neither would be a part of SD2o, and tuition may be required.
We encourage all Rossland residents to complete this survey to inform Council how you feel about a potential tax increase. To help ensure that the results are valid, we would appreciate it if you would submit your name and address in a file separate from your survey. If you are completing an on-line survey, you will be asked to do this on-line. If you complete a paper survey, you will be asked to provide your name and address when you drop off your survey. Please complete this survey only once per household, by midnight on March 30th, 2013.

1. Are you willing to pay more taxes to keep K-12 in Rossland as an (choose all that apply):
   - public school within SD20
   - public school as a Municipal School District
   - independent school (Grades 10-12)
   - I am not willing to pay more taxes for any of the above

2. How much additional tax would you be willing to pay to maintain K-12 with SD20 in Rossland?
   - I would pay $0
   - I would pay $15 - $35/year
   - I would pay $35 - $55/year
   - I would pay $75 - $100/year
   - I would pay over $100/year

3. If you answered more than zero for the previous question, for how many years would you be willing to pay this tax increase for a K-12 with SD20 in Rossland?
   - 3-5 years as a bridging strategy
   - Up to 10 years
   - In perpetuity

4. How much additional tax would you be willing to pay to maintain K-12 in Rossland as a Municipal School District?
   - I would pay $0
   - I would pay $15 - $35/year
   - I would pay $35 - $55/year
   - I would pay $75 - $100/year
   - I would pay over $100/year

5. If you answered more than zero for the previous question, for how many years would you be willing to pay this tax increase to maintain a K-12 in Rossland as a Municipal School District?
   - 3-5 years as a bridging strategy
   - Up to 10 years
   - In perpetuity

6. How much additional tax would you be willing to pay to maintain Grades 10 - 12 in Rossland as an Independent School?
   - I would pay $0
   - I would pay $15 - $35/year
   - I would pay $35 - $55/year
   - I would pay $75 - $100/year
   - I would pay over $100/year

7. If you answered more than zero for the previous question, for how many years would you be willing to pay this tax increase for Grades 10-12 as an independent school in Rossland?
   - 3-5 years as a bridging strategy
   - Up to 10 years
   - In perpetuity

8. Tell us about yourself. Check all that are applicable.
   - I am a Rossland resident.
   - I am a Rossland taxpayer/homeowner or business owner.
   - I have kids currently in K-12 system.
   - I have kids who will be entering the K-12 system.

9. COMMENTS
January 25, 2013

Mayor Granstrom and Council
City of Rossland
Box 1179
Rossland, BC V0G 1Y0

RE: Progress Payment (Rossland Operational Treatment, 2012)

Dear Mayor and Council,

Thank you for submitting documentation of the progress of the above noted fuel treatment project. We have reviewed your submission and the progress reporting requirements have been met.

The progress report notes eligible costs incurred to January 4, 2013, of $85,344.49. Based on this, a cheque in the amount of $64,008.37 will follow shortly under separate cover, representing payment of 75% of these costs.

The balance of the approved grant will be available when the required final report documentation is submitted.

Congratulations on this project’s progress to date.

Sincerely,

Peter Ronald
Programs Officer

cc: Mike Maturo, Manager of Planning and Development Services, City of Rossland
    Peter Hisch, Fuels Management Specialist, Southeast Fire Centre
BOARD PASSES 2013 BUDGET

The WKBRHD Board passed a budget of $6,623,456.00 for 2013 at its February 27th meeting.

The Board will contribute 40% of the funding needed to purchase the following items, as per its mandate (the Interior Health Authority will fund the remaining 60%). The WKBRHD's contribution for each item is noted.

Kootenay Lake Hospital:
- Emergency power system upgrade: $780,000
- Pyxis Medstations: $150,000
- Single stage press: $116,000
- General rad room: $104,000
- C-Arm (portable x-ray unit): $96,000

Kootenay Boundary Regional Hospital:
- Boiler hoistway: $24,000
- Multi-purpose radiology system: $420,000
- CT scanner: $200,000
- Storage building: $20,000
- Registration security enclosure: $20,000

Castlegar Community Health Centre:
- Chiller replacement: $80,000
- Telephone system upgrade: $28,000

Victorian Community Hospital (Kaslo):
- Nurse communication system: $24,000

Minor Equipment (Various Facilities): $378,400

SPECIAL GUEST AT MARCH BOARD MEETING

Andrew Neuner, Interior Health’s Vice President—Community Integration will present a portfolio update at the March 27th, 2013 WKBRHD Board meeting in Castlegar.

The meeting will start at 6 p.m.

THANKS FOR KASLO

The WKBRHD Board thanks Interior Health for its ongoing cooperation and commitment to work with directors and Kaslo residents concerning the emergency department at Victorian Community Health Centre.

FUNDS FOR THE FUTURE

Included in the Board's $6.6 million 2013 budget is a $2 million contribution to reserves.

The Board has been considering the health of its reserve fund given the state of the region's facilities, which are rated as the lowest in the Interior Health Authority.

Major capital upgrades or even a facility replacement may be necessary in the future; thus, the hospital board has deliberated on the best way to prepare for such expenditures.

At the January meeting, staff presented the Board with documents showing the impacts to taxation should it contribute either $0.00, $1 million, $2 million or $3 million to reserves per annum.

Directors shared this information with their respective councils, communities and constituents and voiced their findings at the February meeting.

After much discussion and debate, the Board chose the $2 million option.

Chair Marguerite Rotvold and the Board of the WKBRHD thank all those residents who talked to their directors and shared their opinions on the question of contributions to the hospital board reserve fund.

Your input is valued and appreciated for it helps to guide the Board in its decision making for the benefit of the entire regional hospital district.

UPCOMING 2013 BOARD MEETINGS

March 27 6 p.m. Castlegar Community Complex
May 22 6 p.m. Kaslo Lighthouse Theatre
October 23 6 p.m. Castlegar Community Complex
November 27 6 p.m. Castlegar Community Complex

The public is encouraged to attend Board meetings. Agendas & refreshments available at meetings.

Did You Know...

That hospital board funding contributions to Interior Health Authority projects are voluntary?

Interior Health provides the WKBRHD with an annual list of priority projects but it is up to the Board to decide which items to fund.
City of Rossland  
BUILDING INSPECTION DEPARTMENT  
1899 Columbia Ave, Rossland BC, V0G 1Y0

BUILDING PERMIT REPORT: February 1 - 28, 2013

NUMBER OF PERMITS: 6
PERMIT FEE VALUE: $862.00
CONSTRUCTION VALUE: $77,000.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CURRENT PERIOD</th>
<th>YEAR TO DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERMIT FEE VALUE</td>
<td>$862.00</td>
<td>$862.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION VALUE</td>
<td>$77,000.00</td>
<td>$77,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Current Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Period</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>Construction Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERMITS</td>
<td># UNITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW (SFD)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>74,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW (MFD)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW (MH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDITIONS/ALTERATIONS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>74,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESSORY BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NEW) GARAGE/CARPORT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW COMMERCIAL PLUMBING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDITIONS/ALTERATIONS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW INDUSTRIAL ADDITIONS/ALTERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW INSTITUTIONAL ADDITIONS/ALTERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Current Period Totals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CURRENT PERIOD</th>
<th>YEAR TO DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERMITS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERMIT FEE VALUE</td>
<td>$862.00</td>
<td>$862.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION VALUE</td>
<td>$77,000.00</td>
<td>$77,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit #</td>
<td>Issue Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20130001</td>
<td>Feb 1 - 2013</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20130002</td>
<td>Feb 1 - 2013</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20130003</td>
<td>Feb 5 - 2013</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20130004</td>
<td>Feb 5 - 2013</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20130005</td>
<td>Feb 5 - 2013</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20130006</td>
<td>Feb 18 - 2013</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERMIT FEES $ 862.00

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION VALUE $ 77,000.00
CITY OF ROSSLAND
2013 DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>February 2013</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Fee Paid $</th>
<th>Issued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File # 3060.20</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Climate Action Revenue Incentive Plan (CARIP) Public Report

Climate Action Revenue Incentive (CARIP) Public Report for 2012

The Corporation of the City of Rossland
Kootenay Boundary RD
Reported by
Stacey Lightbourne
Planning Assistant
stacey@rossland.ca
250-362-2329
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General Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Local Government</th>
<th>The Corporation of the City of Rossland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member of Regional District (RD)</td>
<td>Kootenay Boundary RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Growth Strategy (RGS) in region</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>3554</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community-Wide Actions for 2012

1.1 Measure

Community-Wide Measurement Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have you been using the Community Energy and Emissions Inventory (CEEI) to measure progress? What else have you been using instead of/in addition to CEEI?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Information

In 2008, The Regional District of East Kootenay and the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary started the Carbon Neutral Kootenays project. Baseline data was collected for both Regional Districts and all the municipalities within those Districts. The City of Rossland's baseline inventory was compiled for the year 2008 at the time.

1.2 Plan

Community-Wide Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do your OCP(s) have targets, policies and actions to reduce GHG emissions, as per the requirements under the Local Governments Act (LGA)? If yes, please identify the targets set. If no or in progress, please comment.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Information

The City of Rossland amended the OCP early in 2010 to bring the municipality into compliance with Bill 27 and to set a GHG reduction a target of 33% below Rossland's 2007 GHG emissions' levels by 2020.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 1.3 Reduce

**Supportive Community-Wide Actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Actions Taken this Year</th>
<th>Proposed Actions for Next Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Broad Planning</strong></td>
<td><em>Continue to support Sustainability Commission in education and planning initiatives.</em> - Move towards implementing the Communities Adapting to Climate Change Plan by developing a new Subdivision and Servicing Bylaw that is resilient to Climate Change. Implemented new zoning bylaw (2518).</td>
<td><em>Continue to support Sustainability Commission in education and planning initiatives.</em> - Adopt new Subdivision and Servcing Bylaw that is resilient to climate change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Building and Lighting</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy Generation</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green Space</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>Actions Taken this Year</td>
<td>Proposed Actions for Next Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Transportation (e.g. developed sustainable transportation plan, completed bicycle master plan) | - Continue to lobby BC Transit for increase bus service to Red Mountain.  
- Continue to implement Active Transportation Plan.                  | - Continue to lobby BC Transit for increase bus service to Red Mountain.  
- Continue to implement Active Transportation Plan.                        |
<p>| Waste (e.g. introduced composting and recycling education programs) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                 |
| Water/Sewer (e.g. participated in water smart initiatives, implemented Water Action Plan, introduced rebates on low flush toilets) | Participated in the Water Smart Ambassador Program, and continue to implement the Water Smart Action Plan.  | Participate in the Water Smart Ambassador Program, and continue to implement the Water Smart Action Plan.  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Other Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actions Taken this Year</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Actions for Next Year</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Direct Community-Wide Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buildings</strong></td>
<td>(e.g. implement use of sustainability checklists and development permit guidelines for new buildings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions Taken this Year</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Actions for Next Year</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy Generation</strong></td>
<td>(e.g. implement district energy, geothermal, solar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions Taken this Year</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Actions for Next Year</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation</strong></td>
<td>(e.g. implement bike lanes, pedestrian paths, upgrade transit service and infrastructure, improve roads, parking fees etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions Taken this Year</strong></td>
<td>- Opened main trunk trail (2 km) connecting Redstone Golf Course to downtown and awarded CIPP grant ($25,000) for an additional 2 km section above Columbia Ave - to be completed in 2013 - each part of the 2009 Active Transportation Plan (ATP). - Approved use of Resort Municipality Funding for a transit service to Red Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Actions for Next Year</strong></td>
<td>Complete 2 kilometres of trail connecting Lower Rossland to Upper Rossland and the downtown to the museum.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waste</strong></td>
<td>(e.g. introduce composting and recycling programs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions Taken this Year</strong></td>
<td>Offered Spring and Fall cleanup program for organic waste to reduce individual vehicle traffic to the landfill in Trail and to reduce illegal dumping of waste.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Actions for Next Year</td>
<td>Continue to offer Spring and Fall cleanup program for organic waste to reduce individual vehicle traffic to the landfill in Trail and to reduce illegal dumping of waste.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Water/Sewer (e.g. implement water conservation and reduction initiatives)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Actions Taken this Year | - Reached 95% compliance in water meter installation with the Water Meter Incentive program.  
- Hired a Water Smart Ambassador to educate the community on water conservation. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Actions for Next Year</th>
<th>Complete the Water Meter Incentive program and reach 100% compliance in installing water meters.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Green Space (e.g. plant trees, conserve forest etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Actions Taken this Year | - Require parkland dedication from major subdivisions particularly focused on riparian areas.  
- Replanted some trees taken from downtown infrastructure upgrade in several City Parks. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Actions for Next Year</th>
<th>Continue to require parkland dedication from major subdivisions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Other Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actions Taken this Year</td>
<td>Wrapped up the &quot;Rossland on an Energy Diet&quot; in association with Fortis BC, Columbia Basin Trust and Nelson and District Credit Union. The results are not final yet -- homeowners have until March 2013 to conduct their final audit and claim grants -- but even preliminary results are impressive: 22% of homes signed up (257 dwellings), and 12% of the community’s homes have already accessed grants. Essentially all of Rossland’s small businesses (35) participated. All actions totaled resulted in an estimated annual savings of 2,220 GJ natural gas and 1,478,000 kWh electricity, 338 tonnes of avoided GHG emissions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Proposed Actions for Next Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is there any activity that you have been engaged in over the past year(s) that you are particularly proud of and would like to share with other local governments? Please describe and add links to additional information where possible.</td>
<td>Received an honorable mention for the 2012 Climate and Energy Action Awards for the “Rossland on an Energy Diet”. In collaboration with FortisBC, Columbia Basin Trust and Nelson and District Credit Union the Energy Diet involved both residential and commercial initiatives. Residents signed up for free energy audits (funded by FortisBC, conducted en masse) which gave them recommended energy saving options. Grants were available under the Federal EcoEnergy Program, FortisBC’s rebates and LiveSmart BC at up to $19,000 per household, and homeowners were able to apply for low-interest loans to bridge the financing until the rebates came in. On the commercial side, FortisBC funded free audits of Rossland’s small businesses and installed free lighting retrofits as part of its FLIP Program. A free CFL lightbulb exchange and blanket distribution of energy savings kits targeted non-participants.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Corporate Actions for 2012

#### 2.1 Measure

**Corporate Measurement Actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>What steps has your local government taken toward completing its corporate emissions inventory (e.g. corporate assets identified related to energy and fuel data and calculated GHG emissions from energy use)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>In 2008, The Regional District of East Kootenay and the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary started the Carbon Neutral Kootenays project. Baseline data was collected for both Regional Districts and all the municipalities within those Districts. The City of Rossland’s baseline inventory was compiled for the year 2008 at the time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>What tool are you using to measure, track and report on your corporate emissions (e.g. SMARTtool, other tools including excel spreadsheets)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>SMARTtool</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.2 Reduce

**Supportive Corporate Actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Broad Planning (e.g. developed corporate climate action plan)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actions Taken this Year</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Actions for Next Year</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Building and Lighting (e.g. developed energy reduction plan for all corporate buildings)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actions Taken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>Proposed Actions for Next Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Generation (e.g. undertook feasibility study of green energy generation for civic buildings)</td>
<td>Plan to investigate the possibility of power generation from water &amp; waste water sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation (e.g. created anti-idling policy for city vehicles, bike to work week promotion)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste (e.g. completed waste audit of City Hall)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water/Sewer (e.g. completed study of sewer and water energy use)</td>
<td>Continuing to participate in Liquid Waste Management Plan-Stage 2 for a new</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Proposed Actions for Next Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Other Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actions Taken this Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Actions for Next Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue to participate in Liquid Waste Management Plan-Stage 2 for a new regional sewer service.
### Direct Corporate Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Building and Lighting</strong></td>
<td>(e.g. energy efficiency retrofits to municipal buildings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions Taken this Year</strong></td>
<td>- Replaced 44 streetlights downtown with LED lights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Replaced Christmas lights with LED lights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Replaced hot water tank in City Shop with new on demand hot water system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Actions for Next Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Energy Generation (e.g. implemented heat recovery systems, solar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions Taken this Year</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Actions for Next Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Fleet (e.g. anti-idling policies for fleet vehicles, purchasing of hybrid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions Taken this Year</strong></td>
<td>- Replaced sweeper truck and bucket truck with more efficient vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Actions for Next Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Waste (e.g. introduction of composting and recycling programs and education)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions Taken this Year</strong></td>
<td>- Reduced paper use by encouraging double sided copying.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Continued with internal recycling practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Diverted 1100 truck loads of asphalt grindings from landfills and reused the grindings in new projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Council continues to use laptops instead of printing Council Agendas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Actions for Next Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Water/Sewer (e.g. initiated water conservation and reduction initiatives)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Actions Taken this Year | - Approximately 450 metres of new sewer, water and storm mains to replacing ageing lines and effectively eliminating emergency repairs to routine breaks; reducing labour & fuel demands.  
- Upgraded sprinkler system at Cooke Ave Ball Park to a more efficient system.  
- Installed rain sensors on all City Park sprinkler system timers.  
- Improved drainage through 3 downtown blocks drained by in-lane swales to mitigate previous ponding and blockage against curbs, reducing call-out based demand for labour/fuel |
| Proposed Actions for Next Year | - |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Green Space (e.g. planting of trees )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Actions Taken this Year | - Planted 54 large caliper trees flanking three city blocks supported by automated irrigation, reducing labour/fuel demand, improving canopy, and overall tree health.  
- Introduced new local xeriscape plants supported by irrigation system to downtown during revitalisation. |
| Proposed Actions for Next Year | - |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Other Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actions Taken this Year</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Actions for Next Year</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.3 Corporate Innovations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Is there any activity that you have been engaged in over the past year(s) that you are particularly proud of and would like to share with other local governments? Please describe and add links to additional information where possible.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Carbon Neutral Progress Reporting

Tonnes CO2e

Annual corporate emissions using SMARTTool or equivalent inventory tool 397.97

Emissions from services delivered directly by the local government 373.37

Emissions from contracted services 24.6

Less:

GHG reductions being claimed for this reporting year from Option 1 - GHG reduction project

Energy Efficient Building Retrofits and Fuel Switching

Solar Thermal

Household Organic Waste Composting

Low Emissions Vehicles 0

Less:

GHG reductions being claimed for this reporting year from Option 2 - GHG reduction projects

Please list all Option 2 Projects Implemented (insert title of the projects(s) as per project plan template. If you have more than two Option 2 projects you can add more lines at the bottom of this sheet)

400

Less:

Offsets purchased for this reporting year (Option 3). Please identify your offset provider in the offset provider information section below.

-2.03

Balance of corporate emissions for this reporting year.

(If the corporate emissions balance is zero, your local government is carbon neutral for this reporting year)
### Making Progress on Your Carbon Neutral Commitment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance of corporate emissions for this reporting year. (If the corporate emissions balance is zero, your local government is carbon neutral for this reporting year)</td>
<td>Zero</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offset Provider</th>
<th>DARKWOODS INITIATIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We have already purchased</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or, will purchase by June 1, 2013</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategic spending by British Columbia communities stimulates local economies, encourages growth, creates local jobs and helps offset the effects of the global economic downturn. As such, the Province of British Columbia provided an immediate $60 million of relief in March 2012 and another $94 million in June 2012 to local governments through a restructuring of the current provincial grant programs. This provides local communities with more provincial funding sooner, giving greater certainty and improved financial flexibility for the future.

The March 2013 initiative totalling $20 million consists of $10 million for the Traffic Fine Revenue Sharing Program to help municipalities enhance policing and community-based public safety programs, and $10 million for Small Community and Regional District Grants supporting local governments to provide services in areas with smaller tax bases.

For your community, the following amount(s) will be transferred to you on or before March 12, 2013.

- Small Community Grant $84,075
- Traffic Fine Revenue 

As with the previous Strategic Community Investment Fund program, we expect that local governments will continue to report publicly on the use of these funds through the annual reporting requirements.

Sincerely,

“Original signed by”

Bill Bennett
Dear Sir/Madam:

As a follow-up to our previous message, please note that the new deadline to submit a nomination for the Prime Minister's Volunteer Awards is April 15, 2013.

The awards recognize achievements in a wide range of volunteer activity, including:

- **individual volunteers** or **groups of volunteers** who give of their time, energy and expertise;

- **businesses** whose commitment to social responsibility strengthens the communities in which their employees live; and

- **not-for-profit organizations** whose innovative approaches to volunteerism make a difference every day in the lives of Canadians.

Award recipients will be recognized at a ceremony and can identify an eligible not-for-profit organization to receive a grant for $5,000 (regional award) or $10,000 (national award).

As your organization is one that could both nominate someone or be nominated, please share information about the Prime Minister's Volunteer Awards and the call for nominations. Let's give Canada's volunteers the recognition they deserve.

Please visit [www.pm.gc.ca/awards](http://www.pm.gc.ca/awards) or [www.facebook.com/PrixduPMAwards](http://www.facebook.com/PrixduPMAwards) for more information about the PMVA, including past award recipients and the current call for nominations. Should you have any questions, please contact us at 1-877-825-0434 or send an email to info-pmva@hrsd.gc.ca.

The Prime Minister's Volunteer Awards Secretariat - Human Resources and Skills Development Canada
You are receiving information pertaining to the Prime Minister's Volunteer Awards because you have subscribed to a list owned by Grey House Publishing Canada or indicated that you would like to receive updates or information pertaining to the Prime Minister's Volunteer Awards.

To unsubscribe:

If you no longer wish to receive these e-mails, please reply to this email with the word "unsubscribe" in the subject line or contact the Prime Minister's Volunteer Awards Secretariat at 1-877-825-0434. Your e-mail addresses will be removed from our e-mail distribution list within two weeks of the time we receive your request.
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF ROSSLAND

HERITAGE COMMISSION

MINUTES

Regular Meeting of March 4, 2013
City Hall – Council Chambers

Present: J. Drysdale
B. Kerby
K. Gresley-Jones
L. Jakovac

S. Lightbourne, Recording Secretary

1. Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 9:08 am

2. Agenda: Motion to adopt the agenda.
   Moved by K. Gresley-Jones/Seconded by B. Kirby

3. Minutes: MOTION Moved by K. Gresley-Jones/Seconded by B. Kirby
   THAT the minutes as corrected for the Heritage Commission meeting held February 4, 2013, be adopted.
   CARRIED

4. Old Business
   a) Heritage Week Event
      - Jackie thanked everyone for assistance during Heritage Week event. It was well attended.

   b) Columbia Cemetery
      - Dave Nutini still updating.

   c) City Website and Visitors Guide
      - Commission noted the lack of heritage references in the Visitors Guide. Also noted that the City website could be better. Stacey asked members to find good City sites to use as a guide.

   d) Heritage Plaques
      - Lemon Block to go on building soon. Stacey to write a letter to Kamloops Mining Co. building owner to ask permission to put up the plaques. He has not responded to any phone calls.

5. New Business
   a) Commission Membership
b) Budget
- Council still to discuss budget and Stacey will ask Kathy Wallace about RDKB.

c) 2013 Projects
- Cemetery Mapping – In house
- Publishing Cemetery book
- SoS’s – Mini workshop for Tennis courts and pool. Possibly last week in March?
- Heritage Home Inventory – Pursue grant money-CBT? Jackie to pursue.
- Heritage Registry – Changes, additions, deletions. Stacey to make date changes.

6. Member Reports/Queries:
Discussion occurred about identifying and signing old mine sites.

8. Next Meeting: April 8, 2013

9. Adjournment: 10:50am
Board Rules Airport Control Sites Worth $20

The Property Assessment Appeal Board has ruled that assessments at four air traffic control facilities in British Columbia will be reduced to just $20. The ruling affects properties in North Saanich, Castlegar, Penticton and Pitt Meadows. Responding to media questions, UBCM President Mary Sjostrom said the ruling demonstrates the need for changes in how assessments are determined. Read More

UBCM Preparing to Launch Provincial Election Platform

The 2013 provincial election provides an opportunity for BC local governments to engage with party leaders and candidates to raise matters of common interest to local government. Next week UBCM will be releasing its election platform to provide a basis for engagement during the campaign and frame post election advocacy. Read More

Gas Tax Fund Expenditure Amendment Complete

A Gas Tax Agreement amendment to extend spending deadlines for Community Works, Regionally Significant Projects, Innovations, and Strategic Priority funding has been finalized. Read More

Regional Relations Focus for CEO Forum June 13-14

The Local Government Leadership Academy will be sponsoring a forum for Chief
Elected Officials June 13 and 14 at the Granville Island Hotel. This event will provide tools and resources for building regional collaboration and cooperation.
This Transfer Under Agreement dated for reference the 1st day of January, 2013.

BETWEEN

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, represented by the Minister of Jobs, Tourism and Skills Training (the “Province”)

AND

CITY OF ROSSLAND (the "Recipient")

WHEREAS:

A. The Province created the Resort Municipality Initiative (the “Program”) to support the expansion, development, and improvement of resorts in British Columbia to increase tourism, economic development and employment.

B. The Province has approved funding under the Program for the Project defined in this Agreement and such funding is to be paid by the Province to the Recipient pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the sum of One Dollar and other valuable consideration, the Receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged by each party, the parties agree as follows:

DEFINITIONS

1. In this Agreement and its recitals the following definitions apply:

"Agreement" means this Transfer Under Agreement and any schedules attached hereto;

"Project" means the infrastructure project(s), program(s), and other initiatives as described in Schedule A;

"Resort Development Strategy" means the strategy developed by the Recipient in consultation with stakeholders and reviewed by the Province which included the Projects in Schedule A.
SCHEDULES

2. The Schedules to this Agreement are:

   Schedule A  Project Description
   Schedule B  Payment Terms and Conditions
   Schedule C  Reporting Requirements
   Schedule D  Communicating Protocol

TERM OF AGREEMENT

3. Notwithstanding the actual date of execution of this agreement, the term of this agreement begins on January 1, 2013 and expires on December 31, 2013.

OBLIGATIONS OF THE RECIPIENT

4. The Recipient agrees to:

   (a) use its best efforts to implement the Resort Development Strategy and carry out the Project in a diligent and professional manner;

   (b) ensure that the financial contribution of the Province is to be used solely for the purpose of undertaking and/or completing the Projects specifically identified in Schedule A; and

   (c) use its best efforts to spend all of the financial contribution no later than two years after the term of the Agreement.

5. The Recipient will comply with all applicable laws, statutes, regulations, or bylaws of Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, the Province and any local government.

6. In accordance with the requirements of the Program, the Recipient agrees to:

   (a) establish and maintain books of account, invoices, receipts and vouchers for all expenses incurred in a form and content satisfactory to the Province;

   (b) permit the Province, for contract monitoring and audit purposes, to inspect at all reasonable times, any books of account or records (both printed and electronic, including, but not limited to, hard disk or diskettes), whether complete or not, that are produced, received or otherwise acquired by the Recipient as a result of this Agreement; and

   (c) maintain all such accounts and records for a period of six years after the term of the Agreement.
7. The Recipient is solely responsible for all aspects of the Project(s), including without limitation, the planning, design, construction, operation, maintenance, worker and public safety, completion and ownership of the Projects, and nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to give the Province interest in, or responsibilities for the Project(s), unless otherwise provided in this Agreement.

8. Upon completion of the Project(s) the Recipient will retain title to and ownership of the assets resulting from the Project(s) and will maintain and operate the assets.

9. The Recipient acknowledges that it is not the agent of the Province and will do no act which might be construed as authorizing any contract or permitting any other liability or obligation to be incurred on behalf of the Province.

10. The Recipient will obtain prior written approval from the Province for any significant changes to the scope of the Project(s).

11. No sub-contract entered into by the Recipient will relieve the Recipient from any of its obligations under this Agreement or impose upon the Province any obligation or liability arising from any such sub-contract.

OBLIGATIONS OF THE PROVINCE

12. Provided the Recipient is in compliance with its obligations under this Agreement, the Province will pay the Recipient the amount and in the manner set out in Schedule B of this Agreement.

13. The Province will not have any obligation to make a contribution under section 12 unless the Recipient has complied with the provisions set out in Schedule C.

COMMUNICATIONS

14. The Recipient agrees to follow the terms of the Communicating Protocol set out in Schedule D of this Agreement.

INDEMNIFICATION

15. The Recipient will be solely responsible for and shall indemnify and save harmless the Province, and its ministers, officers, servants, employees and agents from and against all losses, claims, liabilities, suits, damages, actions, causes of action, costs and expenses, and demands of any kind ("losses"), or other proceedings by whomsoever brought or prosecuted in any manner based upon, or occasioned by any injury to persons, damage to or loss or destruction of property, economic loss or infringement of rights, caused by or arising directly or indirectly, from:
(i) the Recipient's performance under this Agreement or the breach of any term or condition of this Agreement by the Recipient, its officers, servants, employees and agents,

(ii) the on-going operation, maintenance and repair of the infrastructure resulting from the Project; and

(iii) any omission or other willful or negligent act of the Recipient, its officers, servants, employees and agents.

INSURANCE

16. The Recipient will, without limiting its obligations or liabilities herein, provide and maintain insurances with insurers licensed in Canada with coverage of a type, and in amounts, that any similar business, acting reasonably, would procure for a project of the scope, size and exposure of this Project, during the term of this Agreement and for any subsequent ongoing operations and maintenance of the resulting infrastructure.

DEFAULT

17. Any of the following events will constitute an Event of Default, whether any such event be voluntary, involuntary or result from the operation of law of any judgment or order of any court or administrative or government body:

(a) the Recipient fails to comply with any provision of this Agreement;

(b) any representation or warranty made by the Recipient in connection with this Agreement is untrue or incorrect;

(c) any information, statement, certificate, report or other document furnished or submitted by or on behalf of the Recipient pursuant to or as a result of this Agreement is untrue or incorrect;

(d) the Recipient ceases, in the opinion of the Province, to carry on business as a going concern;

(e) a change occurs with respect to one or more of the properties, assets, condition (financial or otherwise), business or operations of the Recipient which, in the opinion of the Province, materially adversely affects the ability of the Recipient to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement;

(f) an order is made or a resolution is passed or a petition is filed for the liquidation or winding up of the Recipient;

(g) the Recipient becomes insolvent or commits an act of bankruptcy or makes an assignment for the benefit of its creditors or otherwise acknowledges its insolvency;

(h) a bankruptcy petition is filed or presented against, or a proposal under the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (Canada) is made, by the Recipient;

(i) a receiver or receiver-manager of any property of the Recipient is appointed; or
the Recipient permits any sum which is not disputed to be due by it to remain unpaid after legal proceedings have been commenced to enforce payment thereof.

TERMINATION

18. Upon the occurrence of any Event of Default and at any time thereafter the Province may, notwithstanding any other provision of the Agreement, at its sole option, elect to do any one or more of the following:

(a) terminate this Agreement and in which case the Province's obligation to make any further payment of the money remaining unpaid under this Agreement is also terminated and discharges the Province of all liability to the Recipient under this agreement;
(b) require that the Event of Default be remedied within a time period specified by the Province;
(c) suspend any installment of payment due to the Recipient by the Province while the Event of Default continues;
(d) waive the Event of Default;
(e) pursue any other remedy available at law or in equity.

19. If the Province terminates this Agreement under section 18(a), then such termination may take place on ninety (90) days' written notice.

20. The Province may, at its sole option, terminate the Agreement without cause upon one hundred and twenty (120) days' written notice to the Recipient.

REMEDIES NON-EXCLUSIVE

21. The rights, powers and remedies conferred on the Province under this Agreement or any statute or law are not intended to be exclusive and each remedy shall be cumulative and in addition to and not in substitution for every other remedy existing or available to the Province.

22. The exercise of any one or more remedies available to the Province will not preclude the simultaneous or later exercise by the Province of any other right, power or remedy.

APPROPRIATION

23. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the payment of money by the Province to the Recipient under this Agreement is subject to:

(a) there being sufficient monies available in an appropriation, as defined in the Financial Administration Act to enable the Province, in any fiscal year or part thereof when any
payment by the Province to the Recipient falls due under this Agreement, to make that payment; and

(b) Treasury Board, as defined in the Financial Administration Act, not having controlled or limited expenditure under any appropriation referred to in subsection (a) of this section.

NO FURTHER OBLIGATIONS

24. The Recipient acknowledges that nothing in this Agreement will bind the Province to provide any financing for any addition or improvement to the Project, or any cost overruns of the Project and that no partnership, joint venture or agency will be created or will be deemed to be created by this Agreement or any action of the parties under this Agreement.

JOINT AND SEVERAL OBLIGATIONS

25. In the event the Recipient is comprised of more than one entity, then the covenants and obligations of each of such entities with the others will be both joint as well as several.

AMENDMENTS

26. Unless otherwise specified in this agreement, this Agreement may be amended only by further written Agreement between the parties.

SURVIVAL OF TERMS

27. Sections 6(b) and (c), 15, and 21, continue in force indefinitely, even after this agreement ends.

NOTICE

28. (a) Any written communication from the Recipient to the Province must be mailed, personally delivered, faxed, or electronically transmitted to the following address:

Ministry of Jobs, Tourism and Skills Training
7th Floor – 1810 Blanshard Street
P.O. Box 9853 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria, BC V8W 9T5

Attention: Executive Director
Regional Economic Policy and Projects Branch
Email: rmi@gov.bc.ca
(b) Any written communication from the Province to the Recipient must be mailed, personally delivered, faxed or electronically transmitted to the following address:

CITY OF ROSSLAND
PO Box 1179
Rossland, BC V0G 1Y0
Attention: Cecile Arnott Phone: 250 362-7396
Email: carnott@rossland.ca
Fax No: 250 362-5451

(c) Any written communication from either party will be deemed to have been received by the other party on the tenth business day after mailing in British Columbia; on the date of personal delivery if personally delivered, or on the date of transmission if faxed.

(d) Either party may, from time to time, notify the other by notice in writing of a change of address and following the receipt of such notice, the new address will, for the purposes of section 28 (a) or 28 (b) of this Agreement, be deemed to be the address or facsimile of the party giving such notice.

MISCELLANEOUS

29. This Agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the Province of British Columbia.

30. The Schedules to this Agreement are an integral part of this Agreement as if set out at length in the body of this Agreement.

31. If any provision of this Agreement or the application to any person or circumstance is invalid or unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this Agreement and the application of such provision to any other person or circumstance will not be affected or impaired thereby and will be enforceable to the extent permitted by law.

32. Nothing in this Agreement operates as a consent, permit, approval or authorization by the Province or any ministry or branch thereof to or for anything related to the Project that by statute, the Recipient is required to obtain unless it is expressly stated herein to be such a consent, permit, approval or authorization.

33. The Recipient will not, without the prior, written consent of the Province, assign, either directly or indirectly, this Agreement or any right of the Recipient under this Agreement.

34. The Recipient will not, during the Term, perform a service for or provide advice to any person, or entity where the performance of such service or the provision of the advice may, in the reasonable opinion of the Province, give rise to a conflict of interest between the obligations of the Recipient.
to the Province under this Agreement and the obligations of the Recipient to such other person, or entity.

35. All records submitted by the Recipient to the Province, including reports, are subject to the access and privacy provisions of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act ("FIPPA"). All records created pursuant to the Agreement that are in the custody or control of the Province are subject to FIPPA.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF each of the parties has executed this Agreement on the dates set out below.

SIGNED by the Minister of Jobs, Tourism )
and Skills Training, or his or her duly authorized )
representative on behalf of HER MAJESTY )
THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF THE )
PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA:

Ministry’s Authorized Signatory

CITY OF ROSSLAND:

per: Authorized Signatory

Name/Title

Date: March 20, 2013
This Transfer Under Agreement dated for reference the 1st day of January, 2013

Schedule A: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

ANY CHANGE TO THE SCOPE AND/OR TIMELINE OF THE DELIVERABLES MUST BE MUTUALLY AGREED TO IN WRITING

A.1 As identified in the Recipient’s Resort Development Strategy, the Project(s) are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Financial Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle Service</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor Centre</td>
<td>$17,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Oriented Signage, Kiosks &amp; Banners</td>
<td>$3,362.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total amount to be funded in 2013 $28,362
Schedule B: PAYMENT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

B.1. Financial Contribution by the Province:

B.1.1. The Province will make a financial contribution to the Recipient in the amount of $28,362 being the total maximum amount of financial contribution approved by the Province.

B.1.2. Subject to approval of reporting requirements in Schedule C, the Province will pay the financial contribution to the Recipient as follows:

(a) $14,181 upon signing this agreement; and

(b) $14,181 or before November 30, 2013.
Schedule C: REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

C.1. Communications:

As important Project related announcements or events are planned during the term of this Agreement, the Recipient will inform the Province with at least one month's notice.

C.2. Financial Report:

The Recipient will deliver to the Province an updated Financial Report to demonstrate actual and planned expenditures, by April 10, 2013.

C.3. Annual Outcomes Report and Analysis:

The Recipient will deliver to the Province an Annual Outcomes Report and Analysis for the previous calendar year by June 5, 2013.

C.4. Additional Reporting Requirements:

The Recipient will deliver to the Province other additional reports as requested by the Province.

C.5. Form of Reports:

All reports are to be submitted in a form satisfactory to the Province.
Schedule D: COMMUNICATING PROTOCOL

This Communications Protocol establishes the principles that will guide all announcements and events related to the Resort Municipality Initiative. Communications activities may include major events or announcements, communication products such as speeches, press releases, websites, advertising, promotional material or signage.

The Parties agree that in addition to joint communications activities, the Parties may include messaging in their own communications products and activities with respect to their commitment to the Agreement. All communications by the Recipient referring to Projects will clearly recognize the co-operation of the Province.

The Recipient will ensure the Ministry is aware of any potential announcements and will notify the Ministry at least a month, or as soon as possible, before any proposed public announcement or ceremony event. The Minister or designated representative may participate in such announcements or ceremonies, to take place at a mutually agreed date and location.

The Parties agree that they may jointly or individually, make periodic announcements, through public events, press releases and/or other mechanisms of the following:

1. The signing of this Agreement,
2. Investment in Projects,
3. Outcomes/results attributable to the investments made under this Agreement, and
4. Other activities as may be agreed to from time to time.

The timing of public events shall be sufficient to allow for the Parties to plan their involvement.

Signage and Plaques

The Recipient will ensure permanent signage at the location of all Projects referred to in this Agreement, prominently identifying the Province of British Columbia’s co-operation. Where there is no fixed location for signage, a prominent marker will recognize the Province’s co-operation. All signage/plaques will be located in such a way as to be clearly visible to users, visitors and/or passersby. All plaque or other permanent signage designs, wording or specifications must be approved by the Province. The Province can assist the Recipient in sourcing an appropriate supplier.

The Province may forward Resort Municipality Initiative signage to the Recipient, which must be prominently displayed along entranceways or in some other prominent location in the community. A digital picture of the sign is to be sent to the Province after it has been installed. The picture must be labelled with the Recipient’s name (i.e. Resort Municipality Name_Entranceway Sign). The cost of production and shipment of these signs will be the responsibility of the Province.
THE CITY OF ROSSLAND
REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION

DATE : March 19, 2013

TOPIC : Animal Control Bylaw Amendments

PROPOSAL : Adoption of Bylaw No. 2548 – Animal Control Bylaw

PROPOSED BY : Deputy CAO/Corporate Officer

SUMMARY:
During the March 11, 2013 Council Meeting, the first three readings of Bylaw No. 2548 were carried. Council is now in the position to adopt this Bylaw confirming the recommendations made by Council during the February 18, 2013 Committee-of-the-Whole Meeting.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED THAT BYLAW NO. 2548 – ANIMAL CONTROL BYLAW, 2013, BE ADOPTED.

OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:

Option 1:
Council adopt Bylaw No. 2548. This will allow for the implementation of the proposed changes. Enforcement and advertising of the bylaw changes will commence immediately once new signage and doggie bag dispensers are placed in the downtown core.

Option 2:
Council does not adopt the Bylaw. Status quo will remain with Bylaw No. 2357 remaining as the Animal Control Bylaw for Rossland and the dog exclusion zone of the downtown core. Bylaw enforcement will be increased for a period of time to ensure dog owners are aware of the bylaw restrictions.

BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:

Option 1: Council will be acting on the results from the survey sent out in 2012 and the information presented by the Rossland Chamber of Commerce. Council always has the option of reinstating the downtown core as a dog exclusion zone if the proposed amendments become contrary to Councils objectives.

Option 2: Council members may wish to remain with the downtown core being a dog exclusion zone. A new fine schedule could be implementing to reflect a cumulative fine structure.

COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS – REVENUE GENERATION:
The cost of signage and installation for animal control on Columbia Avenue and Washington is estimated to cost approximately $2,500. Staff propose doggie bag dispensers, attached to garbage containers, be placed at Harry Lefevre Square, post office and City Hall.
LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:
Bylaw No 2357 – Animal Control Bylaw will be repealed once Bylaw No. 2548 is adopted. The City has had a long standing agreement with the Trail SPCA for Pound services.

STRATEGIC PLAN/OCP/SSP IMPLICATIONS
The OCP and the SSP do not speak directly to the subject of dogs in the downtown core however, both the OCP and SSP speak to developing a vibrant, user friendly downtown core, that attracts visitors and supports local businesses.

[Signatures]
Corporate Officer
Reviewed by City Manager
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF ROSSLAND

BYLAW No. 2548

A Bylaw of the City of Rossland to provide for the licensing and control of animals within the City.

WHEREAS the Council may, pursuant to Section 8(3)(k), 47-49 of the Community Charter, regulate, prohibit and impose requirements in relation to animals, including bylaw enforcement and related matters further to Sections 260-275 of the Community Charter;

AND WHEREAS Council has deemed it desirable that animal control be undertaken and regulatory measures enacted in the City of Rossland;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the City of Rossland in open meeting assembled, ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1.0 SHORT TITLE

This Bylaw may be cited for all purposes as the "Animal Control Bylaw No. 2548, 2013".

2.0 DEFINITIONS

Animal includes a dog, rabbit, poultry, cat and farm animals.

At Large means being elsewhere than on the lands or premises of the Owner of the Animal and not under the immediate control of a responsible person, and with respect to a Dog, not on a Leash.

City means the City of Rossland.

Council means the Municipal Council of the City of Rossland.

Dangerous Dog is as defined in the Community Charter, as amended from time to time.

Dog means an Animal of the species canine that is over the age of four months, and includes a Dangerous Dog of any age.

Dog Exclusion Zone means those areas designated and set out in Schedule "B" attached to and forming part of this Bylaw in which Dogs are prohibited.

Downtown Core means the area of Columbia Avenue between Spokane Street and St. Paul Street and the area of Washington Street between Columbia Avenue and First Avenue.

Enclosure means a fence or structure of at least two point four (2.4) metres (six (6) feet) in height forming a confined area suitable to prevent the entry of young children and suitable to confine the Dog enclosed.

Farm Animal means a domesticated animal normally raised or kept for food, milk or as a beast of burden and, without limiting the foregoing, includes cattle, donkeys, goats, horses, mules, oxen, buffalo, sheep, fallow deer and swine.
Impounded means seized, delivered, received or taken into the Pound or the custody of the Pound Keeper.

Kennel is as defined in the City's Zoning Bylaw.

Keep means lodge, possess harbor, board, bring upon or feed on a regular basis, on a parcel of land.

Leash means a chain or other material of sufficient strength that does not exceed two point four (2.4) metres [six (6) feet] in length and that is suitable for control of the Dog it is used for.

Licence means a Licence issued for a Dog by the City for the current Licensing Year.

Licence Tag means a metal apparatus (tag) issued by the City for identification purposes.

Licensed Dog means a Dog that is wearing either on its collar or harness, a Licence Tag.

Licensing Year means that time period between January 1~ and December 31~ inclusive, in each and every year.

Owner means any person who keeps an Animal.

Poultry includes domestic fowl, ducks, geese and turkeys.

Pound means the premises used to Keep and maintain Animals pursuant to this Bylaw and includes any vehicle used by the Pound Keeper.

Pound Keeper means the Pound Keeper retained by Council, and includes animal control officers, bylaw enforcement officers and their assistants as employed or retained under contract by Council.

Unlicensed Dog means a Dog which does not have affixed to a collar securely fastened about the Dog's neck, or to a harness securely fastened about the Dog, a Licence Tag issued under this Bylaw or a similar bylaw of any other local government.

Zoning Bylaw means the "City of Rossland Zoning Bylaw No. 2518, 2011", as amended from time to time.

3.0 CITY POUND AND THE POUND KEEPER

3.1 The Council authorizes, by resolution:

(a) the establishment, maintenance, operation and relocation of a Pound; and

(b) the appointment of Pound Keepers.

3.2 The Pound Keeper may impound and detain an Animal delivered to the Pound and may furnish it with sufficient food, water and shelter.

4.0 IMPOUNDMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ANIMALS
4.1 The Pound Keeper may seize and impound:

(a) any Animal which is At Large;
(b) any Unlicensed Dog;
(c) any Animal that is, in the opinion of the Pound Keeper, suffering unreasonably;
(d) any Dog in the Dog Exclusion Zone or unattended in the Downtown Core (tied up);

4.2 An impounded Animal may be released to its Owner only after the Owner has paid to the City all fees referred to in section 4.8.

4.3 If an Impounded Animal is not claimed within seventy-two (72) hours of being impounded or the fees in respect of an Impounded Animal are not paid within seventy-two (72) hours of the Animal being impounded, the Pound Keeper may, on the expiration of this period, destroy, sell or otherwise dispose of the Animal.

4.4 The Pound Keeper, upon receiving a certificate from a veterinarian that an Impounded Animal is suffering from an infectious or contagious disease, may immediately destroy that Impounded Animal.

4.5 The Pound Keeper is authorized to destroy any Animal, who, in the opinion of the Pound Keeper, is suffering unreasonably.

4.6 A person must not:

(a) break open a Pound, or in any manner directly or indirectly aid or assist in breaking open a Pound;
(b) enter a Pound without the Pound Keeper’s permission;
(c) take or release an impounded Animal without the Pound Keeper’s permission and without paying all fees, associated with the Impounded Animal.

4.7 A person must not hinder, delay, or obstruct a Pound Keeper or a person lawfully engaged in impounding an Animal or taking an Animal to the Pound for impounding.

4.8 The impoundment, maintenance and licence fees for Animals required by this Bylaw are listed in Schedule "A" and "C" attached to and forming part of this Bylaw.

5.0 KENNELS

5.1 No person may Keep three (3) or more Dogs at any one time on any parcel of land in the City unless kennel use is permitted under the City’s Zoning Bylaw.

6.0 DOG LICENSING

6.1 No person shall own or Keep an Unlicensed Dog except for a seeing-eye Dog.
6.2 The Owner of a Dog shall make application to the City for a Dog Licence immediately upon becoming Owner of the Dog and on or before the thirty-first (31st) day of January in each calendar year, pay to the City the Dog Licence fee set out in section 6.7.

6.3 No Dog Licence shall be issued to or in the name of any person under the age of nineteen (19) years.

6.4 The Licence Tag for which Dog the Licence was obtained must at all times be fastened to a collar or harness worn by that Dog.

6.5 Where the Licence Tag has been lost, destroyed or mutilated, the Dog Owner shall obtain from the City for the remainder of the current Licensing Year a replacement Licence Tag, upon producing proof of purchase of a valid Dog Licence and upon payment of a fee set out in Schedule "A" of this Bylaw.

6.6 If an Owner of a Licensed Dog changes his or her address within the City, the Owner shall promptly notify the City.

7.0 DOG REGULATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

7.1 The Owner of a Dog must not permit or allow their Dog:

(a) to be At Large;

(b) to chase, attack, or bite a person or an Animal;

(c) to howl or bark excessively where such howling or barking disturbs or is likely to disturb persons in the neighbourhood or vicinity.

7.2 Section 7.1(a) does not apply in the case of a Dog actively engaged in an organized dog trial, show, competition or exhibition provided the Dog is under the immediate control of a responsible person.

7.3 The Owner of a Dog must immediately remove and dispose, in a waste container or by other sanitary means from private or public property, any fecal matter deposited by such Dog.

7.4 The Owner of a female Dog shall at all times when the Dog is in heat keep it securely confined in a building or Enclosure.

7.5 The Owner of a Dog shall not permit his or her dog to be in a Dog Exclusion Zone.

7.6 No owner or possessor of a dog will allow the dog to be within a park or public area within the Downtown Core, unless the dog is, at all times, kept on a leash held by and which is under the immediate charge and control of a responsible and competent person.

8.0 ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS FOR DANGEROUS DOGS

8.1 The provisions of this section are in addition to any other regulations or requirements of this Bylaw or other bylaws, but where there is conflict, the more restrictive provision shall apply.

8.2 A person may cause or allow a Dangerous Dog owned by that person:

(a) to be on a highway or in a public place only if the Dangerous Dog is
(i) firmly held on a Leash,
(ii) firmly held by a person competent to restrain the Dangerous Dog, and
(iii) muzzled by a properly fitted humane device.

(b) to be on private land, or in a private building or structure, only with the consent of the occupier of that property and only if muzzled by a properly fitted humane device.

(c) to be on the Owner's land only in a building or Enclosure.

8.3 The Owner of a Dangerous Dog must:

(a) display at each entrance to the parcel of land at which, and the building or structure in which, the Dangerous Dog is kept, a sign,

(i) containing the word “WARNING” measuring at least six (6) cm high and twenty (20) cm wide,

(ii) containing the phrase “DANGEROUS DOG ON PREMISES” measuring at least two (2) cm high and twenty-five (25) cm wide,

(iii) that is visible and capable of being read from the nearest sidewalk, street, or lane, if any;

(b) within two (2) working days of selling or giving away the Dangerous Dog, provide the City with the name, address and telephone number of the Dangerous Dog’s new Owner;

(c) advise the City within two (2) working days of the death of the Dangerous Dog and provide a veterinarian’s certificate of death; and

(d) advise the City immediately if the Dangerous Dog is At Large, or has bitten or attacked a person or Animal.

8.4 No person may deface or remove a sign that is required to be posted under subsection 8.3(a).

9.0 REGULATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS OF OTHER ANIMALS

9.1 The Owner of any farm animal, poultry or rabbit shall not permit or allow that Animal to:

(a) stray, trespass or be unlawfully At Large on a highway or other public place;

(b) stray or trespass on private property; or

(c) graze on unfenced land unless securely tethered or contained.

10.0 OFFENCES AND PENALTIES

10.1 With respect to a prosecution in accordance with the Offence Act,

(a) the maximum fine for all offences under this Bylaw is Ten Thousand ($10,000.00) Dollars;
(b) the minimum fine for a "Dangerous Dog" related offence that results in injury to a human or another Animal is a fine of Two Thousand ($2,000.00) Dollars.

(c) the minimum fine for a "Dangerous Dog" related offence that does not result in injury to a human or another Animal is a fine of:

(i) Five Hundred ($500.00) Dollars for a first contravention,

(ii) Seven Hundred, Fifty ($750.00) Dollars for a second contravention, or

(iii) One Thousand ($1,000.00) Dollars for a third or subsequent contravention.

(d) the minimum fine for any other offence is:

(i) the fine outlined in Schedule "C" for a first contravention, and

(ii) double the fine outlined in Schedule "C" for a second or subsequent contravention.

10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Bylaw, or the City of Rossland Ticket Information Utilization Bylaw No. 1890, and amendments thereto, any person who violates any of the provisions of this Bylaw or who suffers or permits any act or thing to be done in contravention of this Bylaw, or who refuses, or omits or neglects to fulfill, observe, carry out or perform any duty or obligation imposed by this Bylaw shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding Ten Thousand ($10,000.00) Dollars.

11.0 INSPECTION

11.1 The Pound Keeper may enter upon any property in accordance with the Community Charter and this Bylaw.

12.0 MISCELLANEOUS

12.1 This Bylaw comes into force on the date of its adoption.

12.2 A Dog that is validly Licensed under "Animal Regulation Bylaw 2357", at the time when this Bylaw comes into effect is considered to be validly Licensed under this Bylaw until that Licence expires.

12.3 "Animal Regulation Bylaw 2357, 2006", and amendments thereto and any other superseding animal control, pound and Dog Control bylaws are hereby repealed.

READ A FIRST TIME this 11th day of March, 2013
READ A SECOND TIME this 11th day of March, 2013
READ A THIRD TIME this 25th day of March, 2013
RECONSIDERED AND FINALLY ADOPTED this 11th day of March, 2013

__________________________  ____________________________
Mayor                                               City Clerk
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SCHEDULE “A”

LICENCE FEES

1. A 50% discount of the annual Licence Fee in the table below shall be granted to Owners that purchase or renew a licence prior to January 31st in each year.

2. An Owner purchasing a licence for a new dog or by a new resident licensing a dog for the first time shall pay the annual Licence Fee pro-rated quarterly for the Licensing Year.

3. When a Licence has been purchased, a refund of 50% of the fee paid shall be issued when a female dog has been spayed or a male dog has been neutered in that Licensing Year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifications</th>
<th>Annual Licence Fee</th>
<th>If before January 31st: a discount of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutered male dog (veterinarian certificate required)</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spayed female dog (veterinarian certificate required)</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Un-neutered male dog</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Un-spayed female dog</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEES IF THE CITY LICENCE FEE IS UNPAID

An Owner may claim an unlicensed dog impounded pursuant to the provisions of this Bylaw on application to the Pound Keeper and on payment of:

1. The purchase of a valid dog license for the current year, the January discount to be given if purchased by the 31st of that month plus:
2. $50.00 for the first impoundment.
3. $100.00 for a second impoundment.
4. $200.00 for a third or subsequent impoundment.
5. $35.00 kennel cleanup fee for the first day, or part thereof, and $15.00 for each additional day.

FEES IF THE CITY LICENCE FEE IS PAID

An Owner may claim a Licensed Dog impounded pursuant to the provisions of this Bylaw on application to the Pound Keeper and on payment of:

1. $50.00 for a second impoundment.
2. $100.00 for a third or subsequent impoundment.
3. $35.00 kennel cleanup fee for the first day or part thereof, and $15.00 for each additional day.
SCHEDULE "B"

DOG EXCLUSION ZONES

The following areas are designated Dog Exclusion Zones:

1. Nickleplate Park
2. Jubilee Park
3. School District #20 Properties
   - MacLean School
   - Rossland Secondary School
4. Wading Pool Park
5. Centennial Park
6. Cook Avenue Park
7. Museum Park
8. Pioneer Park
### SCHEDULE “C”
### ANIMAL CONTROL BYLAW
### FINE SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of an Offence</th>
<th>Section #</th>
<th>Amount of Fine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breaking open a Pound</td>
<td>4.6(a)</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlawful entry into a Pound</td>
<td>4.6(b)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release of Impounded Animal</td>
<td>4.6(c)</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstruction of Pound Keeper</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlawful Kennel</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping Unlicenced Dog</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail to display Licence Tag</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail to replace Licence Tag</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail to advise of change of address</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog At Large</td>
<td>7.1(a)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Attack</td>
<td>7.1(b)</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog barking excessively</td>
<td>7.1(c)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail to remove Dog feces</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail to restrain Dog in Heat</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog in Exclusion Zone</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog left unattended in Downtown Core (tied up)</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangerous Dog improperly in a public place</td>
<td>8.2(a)</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangerous Dog on private land without consent of occupier</td>
<td>8.2(b)</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangerous Dog not in building or Enclosure</td>
<td>8.2(c)</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail to erect Dangerous Dog sign</td>
<td>8.3(a)</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail to advise of Dangerous Dogs new Owner</td>
<td>8.3(b)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail to advise of death of Dangerous Dog</td>
<td>8.3(c)</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail to advise of Dangerous Dog attack</td>
<td>8.3(d)</td>
<td>750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deface or remove Dangerous Dog sign</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>